Body, person and environment: why promoting physical activity (PA) with stroke survivors requires holistic thinking

Morris, Jacqui H.

Published in:
Brain Impairment

DOI:
[10.1017/Brlmp.2016.4](https://doi.org/10.1017/Brlmp.2016.4)

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Author accepted manuscript

[Link to publication in ResearchOnline](#)

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Morris, JH 2016, 'Body, person and environment: why promoting physical activity (PA) with stroke survivors requires holistic thinking', *Brain Impairment*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 3-15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/Brlmp.2016.4>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at <https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179> for details of how to contact us.

Body, Person and Environment: why promoting PA with stroke survivors requires holistic thinking.

Corresponding Author

Dr Jacqui Morris

Nursing, Midwifery and Allied health professions Research Unit

Glasgow Caledonian University

Buchanan House

Cowcaddens Road

Glasgow G4 OBA

Email: jacqui.morris@gcu.ac.uk

Abstract

The role of physical activity (PA) after stroke is increasingly recognised as important for function, fitness and wellbeing. Current evidence shows that targeted PA after stroke improves cardiovascular fitness, walking ability and muscle strength and may ameliorate depression and improve quality of life. Secondary stroke prevention and management of cardiovascular risk factors are further health benefits.

Despite increasing emphasis on organised exercise classes for stroke, PA levels remain low and effects of organised exercise interventions are not maintained once programmes have finished. Barriers to PA after stroke are complex and innovative approaches to maintaining and promoting long-term engagement in activity are required. This commentary proposes that using the ICF to guide thinking about PA after stroke may help us develop and apply comprehensive solutions that increase PA levels. This approach considers stroke survivors' PA engagement in terms of **Body**– the physical impairments imposed by stroke; **Person** - the role of identity, and psychological factors on PA; and **Environment** – the physical and social environments that influence PA engagement. The commentary discusses how innovative solutions addressing these issues may enable stroke survivors to be better supported to lead active lifestyles.

Introduction

Stroke is a major health problem and the main cause of complex adult disability. Annually, 16 million people worldwide have a stroke, and 62 million people are living with its consequences (Mukherjee & Patil, 2011). Most stroke survivors experience impairments such as hemiparesis, spasticity, aphasia and cognitive impairment that cause functional difficulties in performing everyday tasks (Mayo, Wood-Dauphinee, Cote, Duncan & Carlton, 2002).

These impairments in turn cause reduced physical activity levels, leading to secondary aerobic deconditioning and reduced muscle strength (Gebruers, Vanroy, Truijen, Engelborghs & De Deyn, 2010; Rand, Eng, Tang, Jeng & Hung, 2010). General deconditioning through inactivity is evidenced by cardiorespiratory fitness levels of between 26% and 87% that of matched healthy subjects (Smith, Saunders & Mead, 2012) and by bilaterally impaired muscle strength (Gerrits, Beltman, Koppe, Konijnenbelt, de Haan, et al., 2009). Deconditioning limits ability to function, causing more inactivity and deconditioning, in an inactivity cycle (Saunders, Sanderson, Brazzell, Greig & Mead 2013). Breaking this cycle through physical activity (PA) engagement may ameliorate deconditioning, lessening associated functional decline.

However stroke survivors face diverse barriers that limit their PA engagement (Morris, Oliver, Kroll & Macgillivray, 2012; Nicholson et al., 2013). After briefly describing the benefits of PA, this commentary will examine barriers to PA using the International Classification of Functioning and Disability (World Health Organisation,

2002) before making some suggestions for approaches to support stroke survivors in regular PA.

Defining Physical Activity

PA has been defined as any bodily movement resulting in an increase in energy expenditure above baseline resting energy expenditure (Caspersen, Powell & Christenson, 1985). In that definition, exercise is described as a sub-category of physical activity and involves planned, structured and repetitive bodily movement, with the objective of improving or maintaining physical fitness. For the purpose of this commentary, we adopt the broad definition of PA that includes, but is not limited to, exercise. Consideration of PA intensity is also relevant. Intensity of PA is measured in metabolic equivalents. A MET is defined as the ratio of the associated metabolic rate for a specific activity, divided by the resting metabolic rate. The resting metabolic rate is approximately 1 MET and reflects the energy cost of sitting quietly (Ainsworth, Haskell & Leon, 1993). Using this categorisation, low intensity activity involves sitting tasks, but also slow walking up to a speed of 4km/hour. Moderate activity involves energy consumption of three to six metabolic equivalents, for example, walking at five km/hour (Ainsworth, et al. 1993). Vigorous activity involves energy consumption of greater than six metabolic equivalents, for example jogging or heavy lifting (Ainsworth et al., 1993).

Stroke survivors are recommended to undertake low to moderate intensity of physical activity at least three days per week (Billinger et al., 2014). These levels of intensity include structured aerobic, muscle strengthening and flexibility exercises, but also household tasks, walking, hobbies and other activities with those levels of energy expenditure and which stroke survivors are likely to engage in. The potential role of engagement in low to medium intensity PA in improving stroke outcomes has generated much research interest. An overview of benefits is presented below.

Benefits of Physical Activity After Stroke

Physical Benefits

There is now good evidence from high quality trials and reviews that post-stroke cardiorespiratory and mixed training (i.e. strength *and* cardiorespiratory training) improve aerobic capacity (Pang, Eng, Dawson & Gylfadottir, 2006), gait speed and walking capacity (Saunders et al., 2013; Veerbeek, Koolstra, Ket, van Wegen & Kwakkel, 2011) and can improve disability and balance, although evidence for mixed training is weaker. Even late after stroke, benefits of strength training on muscle strength, balance and walking capacity have been shown (Hill et al., 2012). Furthermore, there is evidence suggesting that exercise involving strengthening and weight bearing improves bone density following stroke, thus reducing fracture risk (Borschmann, Pang, Bernhardt & Iuliano-Burns, 2012). Flexibility and neuromuscular training are also effective in improving balance and reducing fear of falling (Ding, 2012; Schmidt et al., 2012). Thus, effects of PA for specific training effects to reverse

post-stroke deconditioning are now established. However PA engagement also has other benefits.

Other Benefits

Firstly, regular PA may reduce secondary stroke risk (Hackam & Spence 2007; Hillen, Coshall, Tilling, Rudd, McGovern & Wolfe 2003; Lee, Nam, Heo & Kim, 2001). The effect may occur because moderate intensity aerobic activity improves risk factors including glucose tolerance (Ivey, Ryan, Hafer-Macko, Goldberg & Macko, 2007), blood pressure and total cholesterol (Billinger et al., 2012; Rimmer, Rauworth, Wang & Hill, 2009). Although the evidence is still relatively limited, the potential for secondary risk reduction makes the argument for engagement in PA by stroke survivors even more compelling.

Secondly, PA engagement may reduce depression. Post-stroke depression is common, affecting 30-35% of stroke survivors at some point (Hackett & Pickles, 2014). Individual randomised controlled trials (Lai et al., 2006; Sims et al., 2009) and systematic reviews (Graven, Brock, Hill & Joubert, 2011; Eng & Reime, 2014) show strength training and mixed aerobic and strength training may improve depression and enhance quality of life in the short-term. However depression was defined differently in each study, making generalizable conclusions about who is most likely to benefit from such training difficult. These studies also show diminished effects on depression at follow-up, but because none reported post-intervention PA adherence, it is unclear if this was actually due to diminishing effects of exercise, or to limited long-term exercise

adherence. Long-term effectiveness of PA on depression and adherence rates are areas for further investigation. Nonetheless, evidence of benefits of PA, for post-stroke depression is growing, and has important implications for depression management.

This body of high quality evidence has informed evidence-based PA and exercise recommendations (Billinger et al., 2014; Gordon et al., 2004). These recommend stroke survivors undertake PA three times per week for 20-50 minutes, incorporating aerobic exercise, strength training and flexibility training, and have generated expectations that stroke survivors should be supported to achieve the recommendations.

However, stroke survivors are not meeting recommended levels of PA. Although samples were small, individual stroke studies (Paul et al., 2015; Rand, Eng, Tang, Jeng, Hung, 2009; Tieges et al., 2015) and systematic reviews of activity behaviour (English, Manns, Tucak & Bernhardt 2015; Field, Gebruers, Sugavanum, Nicholson & Mead 2013; Nicholson et al., 2013) show ambulatory step counts for community dwelling stroke survivors of between half and two-thirds age-matched normative values, suggesting stroke survivors are less active than their peers. A United States survey also shows fewer stroke survivors meet weekly PA guidelines than age matched healthy peers (17.9% vs 25.0%) and have lower levels of moderate (46.1% vs 54.7%) and vigorous (9.1% vs 19.6%) leisure activity (Butler & Evenson, 2014). Understanding why stroke survivors are less active than their peers is vital if they are to be supported to meet recommended PA levels.

Using the ICF Framework to Understand Factors Influencing Stroke Survivors' Physical Activity Engagement

The ICF

In defining influences on PA engagement, it is helpful to use a holistic framework. Socio-ecological models propose that interactions between personal biological and psychological influences, physical, social and cultural environmental influences, and community, organisational and policy influences determine health behaviour (Sallis, Owen & Fisher, 2008). The models propose that multi-level interventions are required for sustainable health behaviour change. Using such models to conceptualise barriers should help to pinpoint where action is required to effectively support PA after stroke.

The World Health Organisation's International Classification of Disability and Functioning (ICF) (World Health Organisation, 2002) is a socio-ecological model that has been used to conceptualise PA barriers in disabled populations (Mulligan, Hale, Whitehead & Baxter, 2012; van der Ploeg, van der Beek, van der Woude, & van Mechelen, 2004) and therefore has relevance for stroke.

The ICF describes health conditions via body function and structure (ie. physiological function and anatomical structure), activities (ie. task execution) and participation (ie. involvement in a life situation). The model suggests these constructs of health are influenced by personal factors, that is, individual characteristics unrelated to health, such as age, sex, and psychological characteristics. Environmental factors are also

proposed to influence health constructs and include physical, social and attitudinal characteristics of the environment. The model proposes that interactions between personal and environmental context and the health condition determine disability and functioning. Disability is described as impairments of body structure or function, activity limitations for task performance and restrictions in participation in life situations (World Health Organisation, 2002). The model's bi-directional arrows indicate dynamic relationships between constructs (Figure 1).

Insert Figure 1 about here

Thus, whilst physical impairment resulting from stroke may restrict engagement in PA, an accessible environment for PA tailored to individual impairments, could enhance confidence and support PA engagement, improving impairment and enhancing confidence for further engagement (Ravesloot et al., 2011). Exploration of the ICF domains for stroke survivors and the interactions between constructs may therefore indicate where interventions are necessary to support PA engagement. The remainder of this paper will review barriers faced by stroke survivors using ICF constructs as a guide, and discuss how these interactions may influence PA engagement.

Body structure/function impairment and PA

Impaired body structure or function has been identified as an important barrier to PA engagement in a review of barriers to PA involving people with long-term neurological conditions (Mulligan et al., 2012). This finding is endorsed in studies involving stroke

survivors. Several qualitative studies (Damush, Plue & Bakas, 2007; Nicholson et al. 2013; Patterson & Ross-Edwards, 2010; Robison, Wiles & Ellis-Hill, 2009) show that stroke survivors' perceptions of limited movement, strength and mobility act as barriers to PA engagement after stroke. These perceptions are supported by quantitative studies involving community dwelling sedentary stroke survivors showing correlations between physical impairment measures and daily step-count (a proxy measure of walking activity) (Dannielsson, Willen & Sunnerhagen, 2012; Tiedemann et al., 2012; Zalewski & Dvorak, 2011). However in one study, only 5% of variance in exercise class attendance was predicted by physical impairment measures, suggesting other factors, including environmental or personal factors may influence PA engagement (Tiedemann et al., 2012). These findings suggest that whilst physical impairment is important in determining engagement, it is only part of the story.

Similarly, balance impairment is also reported to influence PA levels. Several studies show positive associations between Berg Balance scores, steps taken per day (Michael, Allen, Macko, 2005; 2006) and accelerometer activity counts (Rand et al., 2009). However the role of balance in predicting step count has not been equivocally established, given that another study (Fulk, Reynolds, Mondal & Deutsch, 2010) found that Berg balance scores did not significantly predict daily step count in stroke survivors living in the community. That study was small however, and involved participants whose mean gait speed at 1.01m/s was only slightly less than that of the healthy comparisons at 1.34m/s, therefore general conclusions for survivors with poorer balance and mobility cannot be made. Nonetheless, for many stroke survivors, balance

impairment probably plays a part in influencing PA engagement involving walking activity.

Additionally, several qualitative studies show perceptions of poor balance influence fear of falling, that act as an important barrier to PA engagement (Morris, Oliver, Macgillivray & Kroll, 2012; Patterson & Ross Edwards 2010; Simpson, Eng & Tawashy, 2011). These studies illustrate how ICF constructs interlink, with balance impairment leading to personal emotional responses, including fear, that in turn limit engagement. However, like balance, more exploration of the influence of fear of falling on activity levels is required, since in another study it was not confirmed as a predictor of PA engagement (Tiedemann et al. 2012) suggesting that its role in PA engagement is as yet uncertain.

The impact of cognitive impairment on PA engagement after stroke has not been fully explored, however a review of barriers to PA for people with long-term neurological conditions, including stroke, highlighted cognitive impairment as a barrier to PA (Mulligan et al., 2012). Prevalence of cognitive impairment after stroke ranges from 22% to 77% (Douiri, Rudd & Wolfe, 2013; Riepe, Riss, Bittner & Huber, 2004). The heterogeneity in prevalence rates is probable because of differences in study methods, case mix and inclusion or exclusion of participants with pre-stroke dementia (Douiri et al., 2013). Its importance for PA is illustrated in qualitative studies showing that memory and attention impairments limited stroke survivors' engagement in PA, often through the impact that those impairments have on confidence and competence to

engage in groups and other organised PA (Morris et al., 2012; Nicholson et al., 2014). Given the prevalence rates of cognitive impairment experienced by stroke survivors, it is imperative to explore how to ensure inclusion in PA studies and programmes of people with cognitive impairment.

Likewise, communication impairment is common after stroke, with studies reporting between 15 and 38% of stroke survivors experiencing aphasia in the acute phase (Inatomi, Yonehara, Omiya, Hashimoto, Hirano & Uchino, 2008; Laska, Hellblom, Murray, Kahan & Von Arbin, 2001). There is little evidence of the impact of communication difficulties on PA engagement, possibly because participants with communication difficulties, or combined cognitive and communication difficulties are often deliberately excluded from PA studies. However qualitative studies have provided some evidence that people with communication difficulties may be less likely to engage in PA because their communication impairment negatively influences interactions with others reducing confidence to cope in group situations (Morris, Oliver, Kroll, Joice & Williams, 2015).

Inclusion of people with cognitive and communication impairments in future PA studies will enhance understanding of how those impairments influence PA engagement. Furthermore, in collaboration with speech and language therapists, the deliberate adaptation of PA interventions and services to ensure inclusive environments in which people with communication and cognitive impairment are supported to engage in PA could enhance their confidence and likelihood of engaging in PA. This would be

particularly important within group settings to ensure these stroke survivors receive the same opportunities as other survivors to become active.

Post-stroke fatigue is another PA barrier affecting between 30 and 68% of stroke survivors, depending on whether depression-related fatigue is included (Duncan, Kutlubaev, Dennis, Greig & Mead, 2014). Fatigue is likely to be biological in origin, an early consequence of the stroke lesion itself, and can therefore, at least in the early stages of stroke, be viewed as an impairment within the ICF, leading to activity limitation and participation restriction in relation to PA (White et al., 2012). However a published review and model of fatigue (Wu, Mead, Macleod & Chalder, 2015) suggests that viewing fatigue only as an impairment directly arising from the stroke itself is too simplistic. Although the evidence is by no means comprehensive, the review suggests that fatigue may also emerge as a consequence of complex interactions between pre or post-stroke depression and anxiety, post-stroke physical impairments, and personal psychological and behavioural responses to stroke. The model suggests that the influence of these factors emerges over time after stroke and can perpetuate early onset fatigue, or lead to secondary, late onset fatigue (Wu et al., 2015). Inactivity and restricted participation in PA resulting both from primary fatigue, and fatigue secondary to these other factors may in turn worsen fatigue, leading to further inactivity and associated participation restriction (Wu et al., 2015).

Fatigue can thus be viewed as a primary (lesion related) or secondary (related to post-stroke sequelae) impairment that causes restricted engagement in PA. Effective

interventions are not established, but may involve graded PA combined with psychological and behavioural interventions to reverse the inactivity cycle (Billinger et al., 2014). This holistic approach to PA engagement would address impairments (depression and fatigue), that cause, or are a consequence of, activity limitation (inactivity) and that lead eventually to wider participation restrictions (Wu et al., 2015; Zedlitz, Rietveld, Geurts & Fasotti, 2012). Again, the ICF is a useful model for understanding and explaining the interactions between these concepts and for understanding where and how interventions may effect change.

Stroke survivors are often elderly with co-morbid conditions that survey data show act as barriers to PA engagement (Rimmer, Wang & Smith, 2008), in addition to stroke related impairments. Poor cardiovascular health, obesity, musculoskeletal problems and dementia related cognitive impairment cause activity limitations and may make PA engagement difficult (Billinger et al., 2014; Tiedemann et al., 2012). Qualitative studies also show that stroke survivors view existing health conditions as barriers to PA (Morris et al., 2015; Nicholson et al., 2014), illustrating that PA interventions need to accommodate existing impairments and activity limitations alongside stroke related impairments. The ICF predicts that such impairments co-exist with influence personal psychological constructs such as confidence and self-efficacy for exercise that are likely also to influence PA engagement in elderly disabled populations (Geidl, Semrau & Pfeifer, 2014). However in stroke, the precise role these personal factors as determinants of PA and their interactions with co-morbidities to determine PA require to be more fully tested.

These examples illustrate how impairments act as barriers to PA in complex and dynamic ways. Strategies to promote PA engagement should be tailored to an individual's impairments and co-morbidities and address personal emotional influences stemming from impairment, such as fear and low confidence that may prevent PA engagement. Future research should map mechanisms by which stroke related impairments restrict PA engagement, using the ICF as a guiding framework. This should examine how impairments influence personal contextual factors, such as fear and confidence and vice versa. In this way comprehensive assessment tools and tailored interventions to promote PA can be developed.

ICF Personal Factors and Physical Activity

So far we have highlighted personal factors that emerge as a response to stroke related impairments. Understanding more broadly how personal factors may influence PA engagement after stroke is also important if effective, tailored interventions to support PA are to be developed. The ICF defines personal factors as features of individual that are not part of their health condition. Attributes, such as personal experiences, attitudes and beliefs, values and preferences that construct the unique backgrounds and identities of stroke survivors, may influence experiences of PA (Banks, Bernhardt, Churilov & Cumming, 2012; Huber, Sillick & Skarakis-Doyle, 2010). Below, personal factors that may influence PA engagement are discussed.

Perceptions of self

Identity and self-concept may be important for engagement in PA by stroke survivors (Morris et al., 2015; Robinson, Wiles & Ellis-Hill, 2009) but are not well described

within the ICF (Geidl et al., 2014). In this section we discuss how self-concept may influence PA engagement.

Participation in life situations and activities such as work, sport, hobbies and social and family roles serve to support a persons' sense of social identity (Ellis-Hill, Payne & Ward, 2008). After stroke, restricted ability to participate in valued activities and life roles may affect self-identity (Reed, Harrington, Duggan & Wood 2009; Robinson et al., 2009). Researchers have suggested that after stroke, stroke survivors seek to maintain identity by redefining themselves, whilst retaining links to their pre-stroke selves, in a phenomenon known as identity continuity (Wolfenden & Grace, 2012). Here stroke survivors draw on personal contextual factors and experiences to establish their post-stroke selves, rather than focusing solely on loss caused by stroke (Ellis-Hill et al., 2008). Our qualitative research (Morris et al., 2015) and other qualitative studies (Reed et al., 2009; Sharma, Bulley & van Wijck, 2012) suggest PA supports identity continuity. Findings suggest that stroke survivors and families view PA as a mediator of participation in valued life activities and social roles, including previously valued PA that enhances both pre and post-stroke sense of self. PA may thus act as an enabler, by developing physical skills, stamina and confidence that facilitate engagement in wider social and enjoyable activities and roles (Morris et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2012). Studies were small however and the premise requires empirical testing.

Congruently, matching activities to sense of identity may enhance long-term engagement in PA. Qualitative studies suggest that traditional gym based exercise

classes may not appeal to all stroke survivors because it does not match their experiences or sense of self. PA that reflects preferences and interests is thus more likely to support engagement (Banks et al. 2012; Morris et al., 2015; Wiles, Demain & Robison, 2008). However, counter-intuitively, matching post-stroke activity to pre-stroke interests and preferences may not always support engagement. Several qualitative studies report that stroke survivors often give up valued pre-stroke activities because it does not lead to expected outcomes compared to pre-stroke performance (Damush et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2014). Health behaviour models explain this finding by suggesting that outcome expectations influence motivation for PA (Geidl et al., 2014), and are significant predictors of PA engagement after stroke (Resnick et al., 2008; Shaughnessy, Resnick & Macko, 2006). However where outcome expectations are not met, motivation to continue may be diminished (Geidl et al. 2014). This evidence suggests adapting activities to post-stroke abilities, setting realistic outcomes and taking a graded approach to outcome achievement is probably important for engagement (Geidl et al., 2014). Goal setting frameworks such as the Goal Setting and Action Planning Framework may be useful (Scobbie, Wyke & Dixon, 2011), enabling stroke survivors to identify and achieve goals through participation in activities relevant to post stroke identity.

Beliefs about PA

PA engagement also appears more likely if stroke survivors perceive it as valuable to their condition (Morris et al., 2015; Nicholson et al., 2014; Rimmer et al., 2008; Reed et al., 2010). For some stroke survivors, secondary preventative effects of PA appear to be important motivators for engagement, however, stroke survivors with fewer

beliefs about the benefits of PA report being less purposefully active (Morris et al., 2015). These qualitative findings are supported by survey research, involving 83 community dwelling stroke survivors, using the Barriers to Physical Activity and Disability Survey (Rimmer et al., 2008). The study showed believing exercise would worsen their condition was a barrier to PA engagement, suggesting a role for education to change these beliefs. Development of strategies for PA education to address these beliefs is thus a priority area for future research.

Self-efficacy for PA

Self-efficacy is defined as “belief in one’s capabilities to organise and execute courses of action required to produce given attainments” (Bandura, 1986). Self-efficacy beliefs are central to decision-making and important predictors of PA behaviour in general populations (Parschau et al., 2013) and after stroke (Shaughnessy et al., 2006). Self-efficacy stems from experience, good or bad - where stroke survivors have had negative experiences of PA, self-efficacy may be lowered (Bandura, 1986; Biddle & Mutrie 2008; Morris et al., 2012, 2015; Nicholson et al., 2013). These assumptions are confirmed by qualitative data illustrating that active stroke survivors whose pre-stroke habits and lifestyle involved PA, reported greater motivation and confidence for PA after stroke than those for whom PA previously played no part in their lives (Morris et al., 2012, 2015; Nicholson et al., 2013, 2014). Understanding, assessing and supporting stroke survivors’ self-efficacy by exploring and tailoring activity to preferences, ability and previous experiences for achievement of expected outcomes appears vital to PA promotion after stroke.

Fear is a related personal factor that acts as a barrier to PA after stroke. Two reviews (Morris et al., 2012; Nicholson et al., 2013) show fear of falling, of pain, of embarrassment, of further stroke are barriers to PA engagement. Fear of inactivity causing another stroke is also important (Morris et al., 2012, 2015; Nicholson et al., 2014) and stroke survivors appear to balance these fears against confidence and desire to be active. Falls self-efficacy and fear of falling are related and also reflect that decisional balance (Andersson, Kamwendob & Appelrosa, 2008). Fear of falling may thus be mediated by belief in ability to engage in PA without falling (Li, Fisher, Harmer & Macaulay, 2005). Reduced fear of falling is reported as an important outcome of engaging in PA in organised groups (Damush et al., 2007; Patterson et al., 2009), suggesting that being able to engage in PA safely improves self-efficacy and reduces fear, facilitating ongoing and future engagement in PA. Thus evaluation of fears with survivors, and creation of experiences that generate self-efficacy to reduce fear of falling may be critical to supporting PA engagement after stroke.

Determination

Self-determination is conceptualised by internal desire to control achievement of personal goals (Biddle & Mutrie 2008; Deci & Ryan 2008). Many stroke survivors report determination to overcome stroke, which acts as a motivator for PA engagement activities (Damush et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2012, 2015; Nicholson et al., 2013). These stroke survivors appear to prioritise PA and overcome environmental barriers, irrespective of disability (Damush et al., 2007; Graham, Kremer & Wheeler, 2008; Morris et al., 2012).

Intrinsic enjoyment is a motivating influence captured within self-determination theory (Biddle & Mutrie, 2008). Qualitative studies show that where stroke survivors enjoyed PA, engagement appeared more likely (Carin-Levy, Kendall, Young & Mead, 2007; Graham, Kremer & Wheeler 2008; Reed et al., 2010). Conversely, lack of interest in PA in community dwelling stroke survivors was a barrier to engagement (Rimmer et al., 2008), reflecting the importance of intrinsic motivation to PA engagement. Understanding the motivation of stroke survivors and providing opportunities for enjoyable, meaningful PA appears vital for long-term engagement. These examples illustrate how interactions within the ICF between personal and environmental factors are salient to PA, and how personal responses such as determination and enjoyment may overcome environmental barriers that might for other stroke survivors prevent PA engagement.

In summary, although evidence is limited to a few qualitative studies, ICF personal factors appear important to PA engagement. Stroke survivors' PA beliefs, emotional responses to stroke, confidence to achieve desired outcomes, determination and perceptions of PA as enjoyable are emerging as key concerns when considering ways to support stroke survivors in PA. More longitudinal quantitative studies to examine the relative contribution of these factors to engagement in PA after stroke, and their interactions with environmental factors, are required. This would enable more fine-grained targeting of appropriate interventions to occur.

Environmental Factors and Physical Activity

Environmental influences within the ICF also appear important to PA engagement. These include physical, social and attitudinal and policy/service and community environments (World Health Organisation, 2002). However, it is their interaction with personal factors and body/structure/function domains that may contribute most to PA engagement (Ravesloot et al., 2011).

Social environment

Reviews and qualitative studies illustrate how family can facilitate or hinder PA (Morris et al., 2012, 2015; Nicholson et al. 2013; Resnick et al., 2008). In one study, spousal carers reported common-sense approaches to support stroke survivors' PA engagement, including mobility goal setting, monitoring and feedback, in the context of partnership that represented couples' identities (Morris et al., 2015). However other partners were reported as being less supportive, or overbearing, which inhibited stroke survivors' PA engagement. Findings concur with health behaviour change models that recognise social normative beliefs as predictors of behaviour (Ajzen, 1991). Research illustrating how family beliefs, intentions and behaviours determine PA and lifestyle behaviours reflect the usefulness of such models (Lawrence, Kerr, McVey & Godwin, 2010). Interventions to support stroke survivors in PA should thus account for social normative beliefs to build personally relevant common-sense strategies and social environments for PA (Morris et al., 2012, 2015).

Exercising with other stroke survivors provides opportunity to share experiences that provide benchmarks for improvement and hope (Nicholson et al., 2014; Reed et al.,

2010; Patterson & Ross-Edwards 2009;) and provide role models that may enhance self-efficacy (Biddle & Mutrie, 2008). This social support is often valued by stroke survivors (Damush et al., 2007; Resnick et al., 2008). However the time-limited and healthcare-orientated nature of many PA programmes is not always facilitatory of ongoing lifestyle change. Evidence shows that without ongoing support, PA levels after such programmes decline (Mead, Greig & Cunningham, 2007; Mudge, Barber & Scott, 2009). Whilst such programmes may provide support for PA immediately post-stroke, long-term opportunities that provide social support for PA in communities are vital to support ongoing PA engagement. Linking to self-identity and the ICF personal factors discussed above, these opportunities should provide choice and match individual preferences.

Qualitative studies suggest health professionals can be important in encouraging stroke survivors and directing them to opportunities for activity (Damush et al., 2007; Patterson & Ross-Edwards, 2009; Resnick et al., 2008). However, our qualitative study showed differences between stroke survivors and physiotherapists in meaning and value of PA (Morris et al., 2015). Physiotherapists tended to see PA purely in terms of supporting physical recovery. Stroke survivors and carers viewed it as a mediator to return to valued activities. These differences may explain why physiotherapists are not always successful in facilitating PA engagement. Indeed, instruction from physiotherapists to be active was in one trial, not more effective than usual care in increasing engagement in PA (Boysen, Krarup & Zeng, 2009), suggesting that the intervention did not address the more complex personal environmental factors that influence PA. As we illustrate here, the ICF may support professionals to more fully

understand barriers and facilitators to PA and thus develop understanding and skills to tailor activities towards stroke survivors' social context, abilities, interests, longer-term life goals and personally relevant environments whilst addressing motivational barriers.

Physical Environment

Opportunities to be active are also critical (Michie, van Stralen & West, 2011) and must be accessible to people with disability. Transport and accessibility, cost, inconvenient timing of opportunities and lack of appropriate equipment, can be barriers to engagement in PA after stroke (Morris et al., 2012; 2015; Nicholson et al., 2014; Rimmer et al., 2008). Given that enjoyment is a key facilitator of engagement in PA, a wide range of accessible all-weather activities should be available and accessible to stroke survivors within their communities, irrespective of impairment. This can include walking or cycling opportunities, indoor and outdoor activities and group and individual support that is tailored and adapted to individual abilities. Such services are the responsibility of communities and public and health services.

The ICF may guide thinking about the barriers to PA engagement after stroke by highlighting social and physical environmental issues and their interactions in determining PA engagement. Using socio-ecological models such as the ICF is vital to facilitate accessible PA opportunities for all citizens irrespective of disability. These need to account for environmental influences on PA engagement whether these are physical, social or policy/community environmental influences (Morris & Williams 2008; Sallis, Owen & Fisher, 2008). As with personal factors, much of the evidence to

date is qualitative, and quantitative studies are now required to more fully evaluate the actual and predictive role of these factors on PA engagement after stroke. However, only addressing personal or environmental factors is insufficient to change behaviour, and, as we have illustrated, holistic, innovative approaches to address all ICF domains are required.

The Need for Innovative Solutions

Behaviour change techniques

Health psychology theories define and model personal determinants of behaviour to facilitate behaviour change (Biddle & Mutrie 2008; Geidl et al., 2014). They are used to explain and predict behaviour, and have spawned many behaviour change techniques, including motivational interviewing to assess readiness and barriers to PA engagement, goal setting, monitoring and feedback. Their efficacy for changing behaviour is established (Biddle & Mutrie 2008; Michie, Abraham, Whittington, McAteer & Gupta 2008), however use after stroke is as yet limited. Our review indicated that theoretically based behaviour change interventions delivered in rehabilitation, with long-term follow-up, may support PA engagement up to one year (Morris, et al., 2014), however the evidence base was limited and conclusions must be cautious. It is therefore timely to propose a mapping exercise to match behaviour change theories and techniques to the ICF constructs and known barriers to PA after stroke. This would enable us to match behaviour change approaches to specific barriers faced by stroke survivors, and pinpoint salient targets for new intervention development.

Delivery approaches

More pragmatically, accessible and affordable PA opportunities within communities are vital. However innovative PA interventions that provide a range of activity types matched to stroke survivors' interests and preferences are also required. Widespread use of mobile phone technology may provide one useful solution. Applications under investigation include the starfish mobile phone application (Paul, Wyke, Dybus, Rafferty & Alexander, 2015) that provides group support, group competition, goal setting and self-regulation for walking to stroke survivors on a mobile telephone. Early evidence suggests it is a cheap, acceptable, accessible application that stroke survivors enjoy in environments of their choice. Furthermore, walking is an easily available activity that survivors can access and enjoy. Studies evaluating tele-rehabilitation to enhance physical function and engagement in physical activities such as Tai Chi (Tousignant et al., 2014) are also emerging. These approaches use social networking, and may provide social and motivational support and enhance self-efficacy in accessible and cost effective ways without need for transportation and expensive gym facilities. However long-term behaviour monitoring via electronic approaches may be costly, and efficacy and cost effectiveness is not yet established. Accessibility of devices for people with limited dexterity should also be explored before widespread adoption.

Conclusions and next steps

The evidence supporting PA engagement after stroke for physical and mental health benefits is now incontrovertible. However we are only just beginning to understand the complex array of influences on stroke survivors' engagement in PA. The ICF provides a framework by which we can begin to understand how the complex interactions between *Body*, that is, stroke related impairments; *Person*, that is personal

characteristics; and *Environment*, that is social and physical environment; influence wider participation in activities such as PA engagement. However to date, much of the evidence is qualitative and to more fully understand PA engagement, more quantitative research is required to measure the relative influence of these factors on PA at different stages after stroke. Guided by the concepts within the ICF, such research will help to determine the most salient predictors of PA and enable researchers to develop and target novel interventions most appropriately. The main challenge facing researchers and practitioners is supporting survivors' long-term engagement in PA. That may require practitioners, such as physiotherapists and other healthcare professionals to make changes to their professional practice, by prioritising PA promotion for stroke survivors and by developing new skills and technologies to support sustained behaviour change. It may also require carers, family and stroke survivors to adopt lifestyle changes that support long-term PA engagement. It requires convincing arguments to policy makers for accessible PA programmes offering a range of activities to suit all levels of disability, informed by appropriate socio-ecological frameworks. It requires individualised, theoretically based behaviour change interventions that are accessible and adaptable to individual preferences and abilities. Irrespective of approaches used to engage stroke survivors in PA, activities themselves need to be tailored to interests and preferences and ability. Future research should focus on novel, but comprehensive, approaches to achieve that goal.

Financial Support

The author received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

None

References

Ainsworth, B.E., Haskell, W.L., Leon, A.S., Jacobs, D.R., Montoye, H.J., Sallis, J.F. & Paffenbarger, R.S. (1993). Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 25:71-80.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50: 179–211.

Andersson, A., Kamwendob, K. & Appelrosa, P. (2008). Fear of falling in stroke patients: relationship with previous falls and functional characteristics. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 31:261–264.

Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. *J Soc Clin Psychol*, 4: 359–73.

Banks, G., Bernhardt, J., Churilov, L. & Cumming, T. (2012). Exercise Preferences Are Different after Stroke. *Stroke Research and Treatment* Article ID 890946, 9 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/890946>

Biasin, L., Sage, M.D., Brunton, K., Fraser, J., Howe, J.A., Bayley, M., Brooks, D.,...
Inness EL (2014). Integrating aerobic training within subacute stroke rehabilitation: a feasibility study. *Phys Ther*, 94:1796-806.

Biddle, S. J. H. & Mutrie, N. (2008). *Psychology of PA: determinants, well-being, and interventions*, Routledge, London, 2nd Edition.

Billinger, S.A., Mattlage, A.E., Ashenden, AL., Lentz, A.A., Harter, G. & Rippee, M.A., (2012). Aerobic exercise in subacute stroke improves cardiovascular health and physical performance. *J Neurol Phys Ther*, 36:159–165.

Billinger, S.A., Arena, R., Bernhardt, J., Eng, J.J., Franklin, B.A., Johnson, C.M....Tang, A. (2014), on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. PA and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*, 45:2532–2553

Borschmann, K., Pang, M.Y., Bernhardt, J. & Iuliano-Burns, S. (2012). Stepping towards prevention of bone loss after stroke: a systematic review of the skeletal effects of PA after stroke. *Int J Stroke*, 7:330–335.

Boysen, G., Krarup, L. H., Zeng, X., Oskedra, A., Kořv, J., Andersen, G., Gluud, G.,.... Truelsen, T. (2009). ExStroke Pilot Trial of the effect of repeated instructions to improve PA after ischaemic stroke: a multinational randomised controlled clinical trial. *British Medical Journal*, 339: 273–275.

Butler, E. & Evenson, K. (2014). Prevalence of PA and Sedentary Behavior Among Stroke survivors in the United States. *Top Stroke Rehabil*, 21: 246–255.

Carin-Levy, G., Kendall, M., Young, A. & Mead, G. (2009). The psychosocial effects of exercise and relaxation classes for persons surviving a stroke. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 76: 73-80.

Caspersen, C.J., Powell, K.E. & Christenson, G.M. (1985). PA, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep*, 100:126–131.

Chen, M.D. & Rimmer, J.H. (2011). Effects of exercise on quality of life in stroke survivors: a meta-analysis. *Stroke*, 42: 832–837.

Damush. T., Plue. L. & Bakas, T. (2007). Barriers and facilitators to exercise among stroke survivors. *Rehabilitation Nursing*, 32, 253–262.

Danielsson, A., Willen, C. & Sunnerhagen, K. (2012). Physical activity, Ambulation, and Motor Impairment Late after Stroke. *Stroke Research and Treatment*: doi:10.1155/2012/818513

Deci, E.L. & Ryan, R.M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health. *Canadian Psychology*, 49:182-185.

Dhamoon, M.S., Sciacca, R.R., Rundek, T., Sacco, R.L. & Elkind, M.S.(2006). Recurrent stroke and cardiac risks after first ischemic stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Neurology*, 66:641–646.

Ding, M. (2012). Tai Chi for stroke rehabilitation: a focused review *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*, 91:1091-6.

Douiri, A., Rudd, A.G. & Wolfe, C.D. (2013). Prevalence of poststroke cognitive impairment: South London Stroke Register 1995-2010. *Stroke*, 44:138-45.

Duncan, F., Kutlubaev, M.A., Dennis, M.S., Greig, C. & Mead, G.E. (2012). Fatigue after stroke: a systematic review of associations with impaired physical fitness. *Int J Stroke*, 7:157–162.

Ellis-Hill, C., Payne, S. & Ward, C. (2008). Using stroke to explore the life thread model: an alternative approach to understanding rehabilitation following an acquired disability. *Disabil Rehabil*, 30:150–9.

Eng, J. & Reime, B. (2014). Exercise for depressive symptoms in stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*, 28, 731-739

English, C., Manns, P.J., Tucak, C. & Bernhardt, J. (2014). Physical activity and sedentary behaviors in people with stroke living in the community: a systematic review *Phys Ther*, 94:185-96.

Field, M.J., Gebruers, N., Sugavanum, T., Nicholson, S. & Mead, G. (2013). PA after Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *ISRN Stroke*, ID 464176, doi. org/ 10.1155/2013/464176.

Fulk, G.D., Reynolds, C., Mondal, S. & Deutsch, J.E. (2010). Predicting home and community walking activity in stroke survivors. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 91:1582–1586.

Gebruers, N., Vanroy, C., Truijen, S., Engelborghs, S. & De Deyn, P.P. (2010). Monitoring of PA after stroke: a systematic review of accelerometry- based measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 91: 288–297.

Geidl, W., Semrau, J. & Pfeifer, K. (2014). Health behaviour change theories: contributions to an ICF based behavioural exercise therapy of individuals with chronic disease. *Disabil Rehabil*, 36: 2091-2100.

Gerrits, K.H., Beltman, M.J., Koppe, P.A., Konijnenbelt, H., Elich, P.D., de Haan, A. & Janssen, T.W. (2009). Isometric muscle function of knee extensors and the relation with functional performance in patients with stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 90:480-7.

Gordon, N.F., Gulanick, M., Costa, F., Fletcher, G., Franklin, B.A., Roth, E.J. & Shephard, T. (2004). Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Subcommittee on Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention, the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism, and the Stroke Council. *Stroke*, 35:1230–40.

Graham, R., Kremer, J. & Wheeler, G. (2008). Physical exercise and psychological well-being among people with chronic illness and disability: a grounded approach. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 3: 447–458.

Graven, C., Brock, K., Hill, K. & Joubert, L. (2011) Are rehabilitation and/or care coordination intervention delivered in the community effective in reducing depression, facilitation participation and improving quality of life after stroke? *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 33: 1501-20.

Hackam, D.G. & Spence, J.D. (2007). Combining multiple approaches for the secondary prevention of vascular events after stroke: a quantitative modeling study. *Stroke*, 38:1881-5

Hackett, M.L., Yapa, C., Parag, V. & Anderson, C.S. (2005). Frequency of depression after stroke. *Stroke*, 36:1330–1340.

Healy, G., Wijndaele, K., Dunstan, D., Shaw, J., Salmon, J., Zimmet P & Owen, N. (2008). Objectively Measured Sedentary Time, PA, and Metabolic Risk: The Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study. *Diabetes Care*, 31: 369-371.

Hill, T.R., Gjellesvik, T.I., Moen, P.M., Trhaug, T., Fimland, M.S., Helgerud, J. & Hoff, J. (2012). Maximal strength training enhances strength and functional performance in chronic stroke survivors. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*, 91: 393–400.

Hillen, T., Coshall, C., Tilling, K., Rudd, A.G., McGovern, R. & Wolfe, C.D. (2003). Cause of stroke recurrence is multifactorial: patterns, risk factors, and outcomes of stroke recurrence in the South London Stroke Register. *Stroke*, 34:1457–1463.

Howard, V.J. & McDonnell, M.N. (2015). PA in Primary Stroke Prevention. Just Do It! *Stroke*, 46:1735-1739.

Hoyle, M., Gustafsson, L., Meredith, P. & Ownsworth, T. (2012).

Participation After Stroke: Do We Understand All the Components and Relationships As Categorised in the ICF. *Brain Impairment*, 13, pp 415 doi:10.1017/BrImp.2012.9

Huber, J.G., Sillick, J., & Skarakis-Doyle, E. (2010). Personal perception and personal factors: Incorporating health-related quality of life into the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 32: 1955–1965.

Inatomi, Y., Yonehara, T., Omiya, S., Hashimoto, Y., Hirano, T. & Uchino M (2008). Aphasia during the acute phase in ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis*, 25:316.

Ivey, F.M., Ryan, A.S., Hafer-Macko, C.E., Goldberg, A.P & Macko, R.F (2007). Treadmill aerobic training improves glucose tolerance and indices of insulin sensitivity in disabled stroke survivors: a preliminary report. *Stroke*, 38: 2752–2758.

Lai, S., Studenski, S., Richards, L., Perera, S., Reker, D., Rigler, S. & Duncan P.W. (2006). Therapeutic exercise and depressive symptoms after stroke. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 54:240–247.

Laska, A., Hellblom, A., Murray, V., Kahan, T. & Von Arbin, M. (2001). Aphasia in acute stroke and relation to outcome *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 249: 413–422

Lawrence, M., Kerr, S., Watson, H., Paton, G. & Ellis, G. (2010). An exploration of lifestyle beliefs and lifestyle behaviour following stroke: findings from a focus group study of patients and family members. *BMC Fam Pract* 11: 97. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2296-11-97

Lee, B.I., Nam, H.S., Heo, J.H. & Kim, D.I. (2001). Yonsei Stroke Registry: analysis of 1,000 patients with acute cerebral infarctions. *Cerebrovasc Dis*, 12:145–151.

Li, F., Fisher, K., Harmer, P. & Macaulay, E. (2005). Falls self-efficacy as a mediator for falling in an exercise intervention for older adults. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*, 60: 34-40.

Mayo, N.E., Wood-Dauphinee, S., Cote, R., Durcan, L. & Carlton, J. (2002). Activity, participation, and quality of life 6 months poststroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 83:1035–1042

Mead, G.E., Greig, C.A. & Cunningham, I. (2007). Stroke: A randomized trial of exercise or relaxation. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 55: 892–899.

Michael, K.M., Allen, J.K. & Macko, R.F. (2005). Reduced ambulatory activity after stroke: the role of balance, gait, and cardiovascular fitness. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 86: 1552–1556.

Michael, K.M., Allen, J.K. & Macko, R.F. (2006). Fatigue after stroke: relationship to mobility, fitness, ambulatory activity, social support, and falls efficacy. *Rehabil Nurs*. 31: 210–217.

Michie, S., Abraham, C., Whittington, C., McAteer, J. & Gupta, S. (2009). Effective techniques in healthy eating and PA interventions: A meta-regression. *Health Psychol*,

28: 690-701.

Michie, S., van Stralen, M.M. & West, R. (2011). The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci*, 6: 42. Doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-42

Morris, J.H. & Williams, B. (2009). Optimising long-term participation in physical activities after stroke: Exploring new ways of working for physiotherapists. *Physiotherapy*, 95: 227-233.

Morris, J.H., Oliver, T., Kroll, T. & Macgillivray, S. (2012). The Importance of Psychological and Social Factors in Influencing the Uptake and Maintenance of PA after Stroke: a Structured Review of the Empirical Literature. *Stroke Research and Treatment*. doi:10.1155/2012/195249.

Morris, J.H., Macgillivray, S. & Mcfarlane, S. (2014). Interventions to Promote Long-Term Participation in PA After Stroke: A Systematic Review of the Literature *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 95: 956-67.

Morris, J.H., Oliver, T., Kroll, T., Joice, S. & Williams, B. (2015). From physical and functional to continuity with pre-stroke self and participation in valued activities: a qualitative exploration of stroke survivors', carers' and physiotherapists' perceptions of PA after stroke. *Disabil Rehabil*, 37: 64-77.

Mudge, S., Barber, P.A. & Stott, N.S. (2009). Circuit-based rehabilitation improves gait endurance but not usual walking activity in chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch PhysMed Rehabil*, 90:1989-96.

Mukherjee, D. & Patil. C. (2011). Epidemiology and the global burden of stroke. *World Neurosurgery*, 76: S85- S90.

Mulligan, H., Hale, L., Whitehead, L. & Baxter, G.D. (2012). Barriers to Physical Activity for People With Long-Term Neurological Conditions: A Review Study. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 29: 243-265

Nicholson, S., Sniehotta, F., van Wijck, F., Greig, C.A., Johnston, M., McMurdo, M...& Mead, G.E. (2013). A systematic review of perceived barriers and motivators to PA after stroke. *Int J Stroke*, 8: 357–64.

Nicholson, S., Donaghy, M., Johnston, M., Sniehotta, F., van Wijck F., Johnston, D. Greig, C. McMurdo, M., & Mead G. (2014) Qualitative theory guided analysis of stroke survivors' perceived barriers and facilitators to PA. *Disabil Rehabil*, 36:1857-68.

O'Halloran, P.D., Blackstock, F., Shields, N., Holland, A., Iles, R., Kingsley, M...Taylor, N.F. (2014). Motivational interviewing to increase physical activity in people with chronic health conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*, 28: 1159-71.

Pang, M.Y., Eng, J.J., Dawson, A.S. & Gylfadottir, S. (2006). The use of aerobic

exercise training in improving aerobic capacity in individuals with stroke: a meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*, 20: 97–111.

Parschau, L., Fleig, L., Koring, M., Lange, D., Knoll, N., Schwarzer, R. & Lippke, S. (2013). Positive experience, self-efficacy, and action control predict PA changes: a moderated mediation analysis. *Br J Health Psychol*, 18: 395-406.

Patterson, S. & Ross-Edwards, B. (2009). Long-term stroke survivors' needs and perceptions of an exercise maintenance model of care. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 16: 659–669.

Paul, L., Wyke, S., Brewster, S., Dybus, A., Rafferty, D. & Alexander, G. (2015). Physical activity profiles and sedentary behaviour in people following stroke: a cross-sectional study. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. DOI: 10.3109/09638288.2015.1041615

Paul, L., Wyke, S., Brewster, S., Dybus, A., Rafferty, D. & Alexander, G. (2015). Increasing PA in stroke survivors using STARFISH, an interactive mobile phone application. Scottish Stroke AHP Forum conference abstract. <http://www.chss.org.uk/documents/2015/07/ssahp-2015-conference-starfish-pdf.pdf>

Putala, J., Haapaniemi, E., Metso, A.J., Metso, T.M., Artto, V., Kaste, M. & Tatlisumak, T. (2010). Recurrent ischemic events in young adults after first-ever ischemic stroke. *Ann Neurol*, 68:661–671.

Rand, D., Eng, J.J., Tang, P.F., Jeng, J.S. & Hung, C. (2009). How active are stroke survivors?: Use of accelerometers to assess PA. *Stroke*, 40:163–8.

Rand, D., Eng, J.J., Tang, P.F., Hung, C. & Jeng, J.S. (2010). Daily physical activity and its contribution to the health-related quality of life of ambulatory individuals with chronic stroke. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*.8:80. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-8-80

Ravesloot, C., Ruggeiero, C., Ipsen, C., Traci, M., Seekins, T., Boehm, M., Craig Ware-Backs, D. & Ricles, B. (2011). Disability and health behavior change. *Disability and Health Journal*, 4:19 - 23

Reed, M., Harrington, R., Duggan, A. & Wood, V.A. (2010). Meeting stroke survivors' perceived needs: A qualitative study of a community-based exercise and education scheme. *Clin Rehabil*, 24:16-25.

Resnick, B., Michael, K., Shaughnessy, M., Kopunek, S., Nahm, E.S. & Macko, R. F. (2008). Motivators for treadmill exercise after stroke. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 15: 494–502.

Rimmer, J.H., Wang, E. & Smith, D. (2008). Barriers associated with exercise and community access for individuals with stroke. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, 45: 315-322.

Rimmer, J.H., Rauworth, A.E., Wang, E.C., Nicola, T.L. & Hill, B. (2009). A

preliminary study to examine the effects of aerobic and therapeutic (nonaerobic) exercise on cardiorespiratory fitness and coronary risk reduction in stroke survivors. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 90:407–412.

Robison, J., Wiles, R. & Ellis-Hill, C. (2009). Resuming previously valued activities post-stroke: who or what helps? *Disabil Rehabil*, 31: 1555–66.

Sallis, J.F., Owen, N. & Fisher, E.B. (2008). Ecological models of health behaviour. In: Glanz, K., Rimer, B.K., Viswanith, K., eds. *Health behaviour and health education, theory research and practice*. 4th ed. San Francisco: Jossey Bass: 465–82.

Saunders, D.H., Sanderson, M., Brazzelli, M., Greig, C.A. & Mead, G.E (2013). Physical fitness training for stroke patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Issue 10. Art. No.: CD003316. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003316.pub5

Schmidt, A., Van Puymbroeck, M., Altenburger, P., Dierks, T., Miller, K., Damush, T. & Williams, L. (2012). Balance and balance self-efficacy are associated with activity and participation after stroke: a cross-sectional study in people with chronic stroke. *Stroke*, 43:1101-7.

Scobbie, L., Dixon, D. & Wyke, S. (2011). Goal setting and action planning in the rehabilitation setting: development of a theoretically informed practice framework. *Clin Rehabil*, 25: 468-82

Sharma, H., Bulley, C. & van Wijck, F.M. (2012). Experiences of an exercise referral scheme from the perspective of people with chronic stroke: A qualitative study. *Physiotherapy*, 98: 336-343.

Shaughnessy, M., Resnick, B. & Macko, R. (2006). Testing a model of post-stroke exercise behaviour. *Rehabilitation Nursing*, 31: 15–21.

Simpson, L.A., Eng, J.J. & Tawashy, A.E. (2011). Exercise perceptions among stroke survivors: Barriers and facilitators to participation. *Int J Ther Rehabil*, 18: 520-530.

Sims, J., Galea, M., Taylor, N., Dodd, K., Jespersen, S., Joubert, L. & Joubert, J. (2009). Regenerate: assessing the feasibility of a strength training program to enhance the physical and mental health of chronic post stroke patients with depression. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, 24: 76–83.

Tiedemann, A., Sherrington, C., Dean, M., Rissel, S., Lord, S., Kirkham, C., & O'Rourke S. (2012). Predictors of adherence to a structured exercise programme and PA participation in community dwellers after stroke. *Stroke Research and Treatment*, .doi: 10.1155/2012/136525/

Tieges, Z., Mead, G., Allerhand, M., Duncan, F., van Wijck, F., Fitzsimons, C. & Chastin, S. (2015). Sedentary Behavior in the First Year After Stroke: A Longitudinal Cohort Study With Objective Measures *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 96:15-23.

Tousignant, M., Corriveau, H., Kairy, D., Berg, K., Dubois, M.F., Gosselin, S., Swartz, R.H....Daggett V (2014) Tele-rehabilitation to Promote Exercise in Veterans Post-Stroke: An Observational Pilot Study. *Int J Phys Med Rehabil*, 2: 200. doi: 10.4172/2329-9096.1000200

Van der Ploeg, H.P., Streppel, K.R., van der Beek, A.J., van der Woude L.H., Vollenbroek-Hutton, M. M., van harten W.H. & van Michelen, W. (2007). Successfully improving PA behavior after rehabilitation. *Am J Health Promot*, 21:153-9.

Veerbeek, J.M., Koolstra, M., Ket, J.C., van Wegen, E.E. & Kwakkel, G. (2011). Effects of augmented exercise therapy on outcome of gait and gait-related activities in the first 6 months after stroke: a meta-analysis. *Stroke*, 42:3311–3315.

White, J.H., Gray, K.R., Magin, P., Attia, J., Sturm, J., Carter, G. & Pollack, M. (2012). Exploring the experience of post-stroke fatigue in community dwelling stroke survivors: a prospective qualitative study. *Disabil Rehabil*, 34:1376-84.

Wiles, R., Demain, S., Robison, J., Kileff, J., Ellis-Hill, C. & Mcpherson K. (2008). Exercise on prescription schemes for stroke patients post-discharge from physiotherapy. *Disabil Rehabil* 30: 1966–75.

Wolfenden, B., Grace, M. (2012). Identity continuity in the face of biographical disruption: it's the same me. *Brain Impairment*. 13: 203–11.

World Health Organization (2001). International classification of functioning, disability, and health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.

Wu, S., Mead, G., Macleod, M. & Chalder, T. (2015). Model of Understanding Fatigue after Stroke. *Stroke*. 46:893-898.

Zalewski, K.R., & Dvorak, L. (2011). Barriers to physical activity between adults with stroke and their care partners. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 18: 666-675.

Zedlitz, A.M., Rietveld, T.C., Geurts, A.C. & Fasotti, L. (2012). Cognitive and graded activity training can alleviate persistent fatigue after stroke: a randomized, controlled trial. *Stroke*, 43:1046–51.

Figure 1. ICF Framework (World Health Organisation 2001)