The effectiveness of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS) for adults with overactive bladder syndrome: a systematic review

Booth, Joanne; Connelly, Lesley; Dickson, Sylvia; Duncan, Fiona; Lawrence, Maggie

Published in:
Neurourology and Urodynamics

DOI:
[10.1002/nau.23351](https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.23351)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Author accepted manuscript

[Link to publication in ResearchOnline](#)

Citation for published version (Harvard):
Booth, J, Connelly, L, Dickson, S, Duncan, F & Lawrence, M 2018, 'The effectiveness of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS) for adults with overactive bladder syndrome: a systematic review', *Neurourology and Urodynamics*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 528-541. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.23351>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please view our takedown policy at <https://edshare.gcu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5179> for details of how to contact us.

The effectiveness of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS) for adults with overactive bladder syndrome: A systematic review

Abstract

Aims: To evaluate effectiveness of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS) for treating adults with overactive bladder (OAB) of idiopathic or neurogenic origin, using a systematic review of the literature.

Methods: Systematic searches of four databases were undertaken between 1980 and 2017. Included studies investigated effects of TTNS on OAB. Study selection, data extraction, quality appraisal was performed by two independent reviewers. Narrative analysis was undertaken where meta-analysis was not possible due to study heterogeneity. Meta-analysis of RCTs was performed using a fixed effects model.

Results: 10 RCTs and 3 prospective cohort studies involving 629 participants were reviewed. Meta-analysis of two trials comparing TTNS with sham showed mean reduction in total ICIQ Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF) associated with TTNS of -3.79 (95% CI -5.82, -1.76; $P=0.0003$, $I^2=25\%$). Narrative review showed TTNS and antimuscarinic treatment were equally effective (four trials), TTNS provided greater benefit for OAB symptoms than behavioural interventions (two trials), tibial nerve and sacral foramen stimulation were equally effective but combined stimulation was most effective (one trial). Significant improvements in OAB symptoms were reported by 48-93% participants and UI cure rates of 25-45%. No adverse events were reported.

Conclusions: Limited evidence is provided that TTNS is an effective, safe intervention for idiopathic OAB in adults and may be of benefit in those with neurogenic OAB. Further studies are essential to confirm these results as well as to determine efficacy and associated costs for specific patient groups, most effective stimulation dosage, duration of effect and stimulation regimes for longer-term maintenance.

Keywords: Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation; tibial nerve; neuromodulation; urinary bladder, overactive.

Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is an increasingly prevalent condition affecting 12-17% of the adult population^{1,2} increasing to 30-40% in those aged 75 and over³. By 2018, it is estimated that as many as 20% of the population worldwide will suffer from OAB⁴. Although not life-limiting OAB is nevertheless life-altering and may have profound impact on a person's quality of life, ability to participate and overall wellbeing^{5,6,7}. Urgency was the most commonly experienced bothersome lower urinary tract symptom (LUTS) in a large cross-sectional survey of 3727 individuals⁸ and symptomatic urgency urinary incontinence (UUI) was reported as the most bothersome symptom at an individual level⁸.

An algorithmic approach is taken to managing OAB, based on implementation of evidence-based recommendations arising from current research evidence. Lifestyle changes and behavioural interventions are first-line therapy in all guidance^{9, 10, 11} followed by various forms of second-line pharmacotherapy, before escalating to more invasive forms of treatment such as Botox, or sacral nerve stimulation where these therapies are found to be ineffective. While lifestyle and behavioural

intervention is fundamental to managing all forms of bladder dysfunction, a significant proportion of those who go on to drug-based treatments will experience adverse effects to such a degree that they discontinue use and longer term adherence to antimuscarinic drugs is poor^{12, 13}. Hence alternative, non-pharmacological approaches to long-term management of OAB are increasingly sought. The ongoing nature of OAB means that total permanent resolution is unlikely and relapsing-remitting patterns across the course of the condition have been described^{14,15,16}. Such natural history and progression patterns suggest that OAB is best viewed as a 'long-term condition' which requires to be self-managed by the person, with appropriate support to do this effectively.

There is grade A evidence that electrical stimulation of the tibial nerve by inserting a 34 gauge needle (percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) is an effective and safe treatment for idiopathic OAB^{17, 18} and the suggestion that this may also be the case for neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction is under investigation¹⁹. PTNS was first introduced in 1999²⁰ and has been routinely available for a number of years, receiving FDA approval in 2000 for office based treatment of OAB and approval from NICE in 2006⁹. Despite only limited understanding of its mechanisms of action it occupies an important position in the OAB treatment algorithm between low-technology lifestyle, behavioural and pharmacological interventions and intensive, invasive surgical or implanted treatments such as Botox or sacral nerve stimulation. However PTNS involves delivery of an extended programme of treatment (usually 12 sessions of 20-30 minutes duration) by trained staff in a secondary care or clinic environment and thus completion involves a significant time and travel commitment by the person with OAB. Additionally, although acknowledged as effective, the costs of the treatment programme delivery and ongoing maintenance therapy may prohibit

availability and routine use in some healthcare services and countries. Given these limitations a growing number of studies have investigated the transcutaneous route for delivering tibial nerve stimulation. This alternative non-invasive treatment is safe, using only surface electrodes and may be self-administered by the person in their own home, thus supporting self-management and avoiding travel and staff costs²¹. It is convenient because the programme of delivery is decided entirely by the person with OAB and can therefore reflect personal choices and lifestyle.

Systematic reviews of effectiveness of PTNS alone^{18, 22,23,24} and general tibial nerve stimulation (including PTNS and TTNS), for OAB and urinary dysfunction²⁵ and for neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction¹⁹ have been published. However there is no systematic review of the evidence in relation to TTNS alone. The systematic review reported here aimed to establish evidence of effectiveness of TTNS in the treatment of OAB in adult men and women.

Methods

The systematic review was carried out according to the review protocol published in PROSPERO (CRD42016041250) using Cochrane Collaboration methods and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) framework²⁶.

Literature search strategy

Systematic searches for published papers indexed in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews between 1980 and January 2017 were undertaken using a strategy combining selected subject headings and keywords relating to TTNS, OAB, UUI, mixed UI (MUI) and study design to

determine effectiveness of the intervention. The search strategy was developed for use in Medline (Appendix 1) and amended for use in other databases. Manual searching of reference lists, relevant systematic reviews and guidelines, was also performed. Results were filtered for English language.

Selection criteria

Included study designs were randomised controlled trials (RCT) and prospective observational cohort studies and inclusion was determined by the PICO criteria: Study Participants required to be adults aged ≤ 18 years with reported subjective complaints of idiopathic or neurogenic OAB or MUI. Overactive bladder was defined according to the ICS definition as 'urinary urgency, usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia, with or without urgency urinary incontinence, in the absence of urinary tract infection or other obvious pathology' and mixed UI as 'the complaint of involuntary loss of urine associated with urgency and also with effort or physical exertion, or on sneezing or coughing'²⁷. The intervention was TTNS, used to treat OAB or MUI. Comparators were a placebo control, another intervention, a different site of transcutaneous electrical stimulation, PTNS or TTNS as an additional intervention. Primary outcomes were self-reported symptoms of urgency, frequency, nocturia, amount of leakage or number of episodes of UI. Secondary outcomes included health-related quality of life assessed using standardised measures, adverse events reports and urodynamic changes.

Study selection

Eligible studies were selected in a two stage process. Using the broad criteria of OAB or MUI and TTNS, two reviewers (from JB, LC, SD, FD) independently screened all titles and abstracts, where available, of bibliographic records retrieved.

Full-text copies of potentially relevant studies were retrieved. Two reviewers then used the pre-determined PICO selection criteria to assess eligibility. Disagreement was resolved by discussion with a third reviewer.

Data extraction and Quality Appraisal

Two reviewers (from JB, LC, SD, FD) extracted data independently using a review-specific tool. Data extracted included details of study design and methods; study participants including sex and age; urinary symptoms, dysfunction and method of measurement; TTNS protocols, outcomes, conclusions and adverse effects.

Extracted data were cross-checked and disagreements resolved by consensus.

Where indicated, authors were contacted and asked to provide missing information.

Independent assessment of methodological quality was conducted for trial designs (RCTs and CCTs) using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool²⁸. Quality was assessed as being of low/unclear/high risk of bias against seven criteria: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of assessors (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias), and 'other'. Prospective observational cohort studies were assessed using the NICE quality assessment tool²⁹ to address external validity of the studies in terms of the sample representativeness within the wider population, consecutive selection of participants, clarity of aims and outcomes targeted description of findings and sample and stratification of outcomes. The maximum total score was 8.

Data analysis/synthesis

Analysis was undertaken in RevMan 5.2³⁰. For studies which reported mean differences a meta-analysis was performed to pool estimates of effect. Forest plots

were produced to visually assess the association across the included studies and the corresponding 95 % confidence intervals (CI). The chi-squared test was employed to determine strength of evidence that heterogeneity was genuine, where $P < .10$, rather than $P < .05$ was considered indicative of statistically significant heterogeneity, due to the small number of studies and sample sizes³¹. The I^2 statistic was used to quantify inconsistency, the percentage variability in effect estimates due to heterogeneity between studies rather than sampling error within studies. An I^2 value over 50% may indicate substantial heterogeneity. Pooled results were estimated using a fixed effects inverse-variance meta-analysis for difference in means between intervention and control groups with 95% CI. A fixed effect model is the best one to use when all included studies are functionally identical, there are no studies with extreme effect sizes that could influence the results and the number of studies is very small, meaning it may be difficult to estimate the between-study variance with any precision. Possibility of publication bias was evaluated by visual inspection for possible skewness in a funnel plot.

Results

Search Results

Database searches identified 1960 unique bibliographic references. Review of titles and abstracts resulted in the exclusion of 1938 papers that did not meet the broad inclusion criteria of reporting on TTNS and urge or mixed UI. Full texts were retrieved for the remaining 22 papers. These papers were screened for eligibility using the detailed PICO criteria. This resulted in the exclusion of a further 9 papers leaving 13

papers in the review (Fig. 1). Papers were rejected because they did not report on TTNS (n=8) and the full text of one paper could not be sourced.

Insert figure 1 here: Flow chart of study selection

The 13 papers reported 10 RCTs³²⁻⁴¹ and 3 prospective cohort studies^{42,43,44}. Included studies were published between 2002 and January 2017 with 9 of the 10 trials and 2 of the 3 prospective observational studies published since 2009. Extracted data from the 13 papers are presented in the table of characteristics (Table 1)

Insert table 1 here: Study characteristics

Methodological quality of included studies

The summary of the overall risk of bias across the 10 RCTs is provided in figure 2. Risk of bias was assessed to be unclear for the majority of the trials as a consequence of inadequate reporting which was a common feature. Main sources of bias were assessed as lack of random sequence generation, poor allocation and outcomes assessment blinding and selective outcome reporting particularly in relation to attrition. The prospective observational studies were all assessed as high quality with scores of 6, 7 and 7 from a maximum of 8 using the NICE Quality Assessment Tool²⁹. Two were single site studies^{42, 43}, one did not recruit consecutive patients⁴⁴ and one did not report stratified outcomes⁴².

Insert Figure 2 - Cochrane Risk of Bias summary for 10 RCT's of TTNS here

Characteristics of studies

Overall the 13 included studies enrolled a total of 629 participants: 437 females (70%) and 176 males (28%), with 16 (2%) participants sex not reported. The 3 prospective cohort studies included a total of 157 recipients of TTNS, 41 males (26%) and 116 females (74%). The 10 RCTs enrolled a total of 472 participants, [321 women (68 %) and 135 men (32%)], of which 254 (54%) received the TTNS treatment. Thirty six participants in control groups received inactive sham (18%)^{32, 33, 37}, 142 (56%) received anticholinergic drugs [solifenacin succinate (49, 19%)³⁴, oxybutynin immediate release (10, 4%)³⁹ and extended release (84, 33%)]^{35, 39} bladder training and pelvic floor muscles training (26, 10%)³⁸, stretching exercises (12, 5%)³⁶, sacral foramina transcutaneous electrical stimulation⁴⁰ or no treatment (9, 4%)⁴¹. Five RCTs were conducted only on women^{32,35,38,39,41}, one on men only⁴³⁶ and 4 included mixed sex samples^{33,34,37,40}. The 3 prospective cohort studies included both men and women. Participant ages encompassed the adult ages from 18 to 94, although in 10 of the 13 studies the mean age was between 45 and 69 and only one study³³ included adults over the age of 80 (table 1). Idiopathic OAB was the focus of 7 of 10 RCTs including the five women-only trials, the trial in older care home residents³³ and the trial comparing different stimulation sites⁴⁰. Other studies focused on neurogenic OAB arising from MS^{43,44}, Parkinson's³⁷, stroke³⁶ and spinal cord injury³⁴.

Intervention: The TTNS intervention was not standardised across the studies and a range of dosages were delivered. The duration of treatment programme ranged from 4 to 12 weeks (mean 7.2 weeks, SD 3.6) and the total number of included sessions

from 5 to 90 (mean 21.6, SD 23). The length of individual stimulation sessions was 30 minutes in all but 3 studies^{40,43,44} where it was 20 minutes. Timing of session delivery varied from daily stimulation in 3 studies^{40,43,44}, twice weekly in 7 studies^{32,33,34,35,36,37,39} and once weekly in 2 studies^{38,41}.

Comparators: Three of the 10 RCTs compared TTNS with a sham^{32,33,37}, 4 trials compared TTNS with an anticholinergic drug^{34,35,39,41}, 1 trial compared TTNS with exercise³⁶, 1 trial compared TTNS as an adjunct to first-line behavioural therapy with behavioural therapy alone³⁸ and 1 trial compared two stimulation sites⁴⁰. The three-arm trial reported by Souto et al³⁹ compared TTNS with a group receiving extended release oxybutynin alone and a group receiving TTNS in addition to the drug. Surbala et al⁴⁰ compared stimulation of the transcutaneous tibial nerve and sacral foramina sites and a combination of the two. Schreiner et al³⁸ compared two groups of women who underwent a first line behavioural intervention involving 12 weeks of bladder training and pelvic floor muscle training, with half also receiving 12 weeks of TTNS.

Treatment outcomes

All but one study⁴⁰ assessed clinical symptoms parameters using a voiding diary to measure primary or secondary outcomes. A range of standardised and validated patient reported symptom tools were also used including: The Overactive bladder questionnaire⁴⁵ (OABq)^{32,35}; International Prostate Symptom Score⁴⁶ (IPSS)^{33,41}; International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire – Urinary Incontinence Short Form⁴⁷ (ICIQ-UI SF)^{33,37,38}; Overactive Bladder Questionnaire⁴⁸ (OAB V8)³⁷; Overactive Bladder Syndrome Score⁴⁹ (OABSS)⁴⁰; Urinary Symptom Profile⁵⁰ (USP)⁴³. Quality of life measures were equally varied and included Incontinence

Quality of Life⁵¹ (I-QoL)^{34,41}; Mesure du Handicap Urinaire⁵²(MHU)^{43,44}; Short-form Urinary Distress Inventory⁵³(UDI-6)⁴⁰; Short-form Incontinence Impact Questionnaire⁵³(IIQ-7)⁴⁰; Qualiveen⁵⁴ (QV)⁴⁴. Follow up was limited in the majority of studies. Eight of the 10 RCTs measured outcomes solely at the end of the treatment period, which ranged from 4 weeks^{32,34,40} to 12 weeks^{35,38,39}. Two of the prospective cohort studies measured outcomes at two points: at 4 weeks^{43,44}, 12 weeks⁴⁴ and 10.8 months⁴³. Treatment outcomes are shown in table 2. Given the heterogeneity in outcome measures used, data pooling for meta-analysis was not possible for the majority of outcomes.

Insert table 2 here – Review study outcomes

Bladder diary changes

When compared to sham, TTNS resulted in a significant reduction in urgency and nocturia in women with idiopathic OAB³² and adults with Parkinson's³⁷.

Improvements in UUI were observed but not significant (Table 2). When directly compared to antimuscarinic drug treatment TTNS and extended release oxybutynin produced similar significant improvements in frequency, urgency and UUI and reduction in pad use in women with idiopathic OAB³⁵ (Table 2). In adults with neurogenic OAB secondary to spinal cord injury the volume per catheterisation and volume of daily leakage were reduced equally in those taking solifenacin succinate and those receiving TTNS³⁴. In a comparison between lower limb stretching exercises and TTNS in men with post-stroke OAB, at six weeks and 12 months the TTNS group reported significantly improved urgency, frequency, nocturia and UUI³⁶. There were no such changes found in the exercise control group; however the only statistically significant between-group differences were reported frequency at both

time-points and nocturia at 12 months³⁶. Adding TTNS to standard first line behavioural interventions of bladder training and pelvic floor muscle training was effective for frequency, nocturia and urgency UI in older women with idiopathic OAB³⁸. Significant improvements were shown between the TTNS-enhanced group after 12 weeks, compared to the behavioural treatment group in frequency, nocturia and episodes of urgency UI. In one RCT undertaken with older residents of care homes a significantly greater reduction in post void residual urine volume of 55ml was found in the TTNS group compared to the sham³³. In summary, authors conclusions for voiding diary outcomes are that TTNS is effective for women with OAB^{32,38}, neurogenic bladder dysfunction in Parkinson's³⁷ and following stroke³⁶ and as effective as some anticholinergic drug treatment in women³⁵ and those with spinal cord injury³⁴.

OAB symptoms scores

In terms of patient-reported outcomes using standardised measures, when compared to sham intervention the IPSS scores of frail older adults treated with TTNS were significantly improved, reducing by a median of 7 points over the six-week intervention period³³. In a group of Parkinson's patients the OAB V8 scores in those receiving TTNS improved significantly compared to the sham group where there was little change observed³⁷ (Table 2). Comparisons between the effects of TTNS and different drugs on OAB symptoms showed that multimodal intervention (TTNS plus extended release oxybutynin) was more effective than TTNS alone over 12 and 24 weeks, however effects of TTNS were sustained over 24 weeks whereas the effects of the single drug therapy were lost³⁹. The results of one small clinical controlled trial⁴¹ suggested that TTNS was as effective as immediate-release oxybutynin but more acceptable to women with OAB. When two different stimulation

sites were compared equal effectiveness was found for reducing OAB symptoms with sacral foramina and tibial nerve sites, however a greater effect on the OABSS was produced by stimulation of both sites simultaneously⁴⁰. Thus in summary, authors of all studies indicate TTNS to be effective for reducing reported bladder symptoms, whether compared to sham^{33,37}, compared to antimuscarinic drugs^{39,41}, with other stimulation sites⁴⁰ or over time^{43,44}.

Quality of Life outcomes indicated TTNS to be associated with significantly greater improvement than sham intervention on the OABq³². In three trials comparing TTNS and drug therapy^{35,39,41} in women with idiopathic OAB, quality of life improved equally in all (Table 2). There were similar improvements in all 3 domains of the OABq with TTNS and ERO³⁵, however the TTNS was associated with more prolonged reductions in symptom bother than the ERO in one study³⁹, although combining the two resulted in the most improved quality of life. Similarly combined stimulation of sacral foramina and tibial nerve resulted in greater UDI-6 and IIQ-7 improvements than either site alone, but all were associated with significantly improved quality of life⁴⁰.

Effectiveness of TPTNS:

Variability in outcome measures and reporting (despite contacting several authors), resulted in limited opportunity to pool data in meta-analyses. However sufficient data were extracted from two studies^{33,38} to enable meta-analysis of mean changes in the ICIQ-UI SF scores following a 12 session programme of TTNs. As shown in the forest plot (figure 3), compared to those in the control group meta-analysis demonstrated a clinically⁵⁵ and statistically significant mean reduction of 3.88 points

on the total ICIQ-UI SF (-5.59, -2.16; $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 25\%$; 40 participants) in those who received TTNS.

Insert figure 3 here: Forest plot

Observational studies outcomes

The three prospective cohort studies reported changes in bladder function associated with use of TTNS. Ammi (2014)⁴³, in adults with refractory OAB and DeSeze(2011)⁴⁴ in adults with MS and refractory OAB showed daily TTNS sessions resulted in significant clinical improvements in 53% and 83% participants respectively at 30 days (table 2), which continued to 90 days in one study⁴⁴.

Improvements in standardised patient-reported measures of Mesure du Handicap Urinaire (MHU) and Urinary Symptom Profile (USP) were reported⁴³, together with significant improvements in urgency, frequency, number of weekly leaks and percentage of continent patients, at both 30 days and 90 days⁴⁴. Volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction and maximum cystometric capacity were significantly increased in 50% of participants with OAB of neurogenic ($n=37$) or idiopathic ($n=7$) origin, receiving a single session of TTNS⁴².

Combined outcome overall

As shown in table 2, results from 9 studies report significant improvement in LUTS in 48% to 93% of participants undergoing TTNS intervention^{32,33,35,36,38,39,41,43,44}. Cure rates of 25% to 45% for UI were reported in three studies^{35,36,44}.

No adverse events were reported by any study reporting use of TTNS.

Discussion

Our systematic review of 10 RCTS and 3 prospective cohort studies involving 629 participants indicates that 48-93% participants achieved significant symptom improvement following a programme of TTNS. Meta-analysis of data from two studies found a clinically and statistically significant reduction of 3.88 points on the ICIQ-UI SF, indicating that TTNS is an effective, non-invasive treatment for OAB in older adults. Additionally the absence of any reports of stimulation-related adverse events in the review confirmed the safety and tolerability of TTNS across adult populations for both idiopathic and neurogenic OAB.

Despite these promising findings there are a number of factors which suggest the need for caution in interpreting the review results. The studies were generally small, only two of the RCTs recruited according to a power calculation^{35,39} and risk of bias in the RCTs was unclear or high for the majority. Heterogeneity was marked in relation to participants' age, sex, medical and urological conditions with a mix of idiopathic and neurogenic bladder dysfunction of variable duration and a tendency for more moderate than severe OAB symptoms represented.

The TTNS intervention was not standardised and the dose delivered varied between studies, although all used low frequency stimulation of 10-20 Hz. In terms of hours of stimulation this ranged between 2.5 hours and 12 hours in the RCTs and 10 and 30 hours in the prospective observational studies, showing the wide variation. Currently there is no evidence of superior efficacy with longer duration of stimulation and the optimum intervention programme or duration has not yet been established. A study using percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation suggests more frequent stimulation leads to a more rapid response however there was no difference between weekly and three times weekly dosages with regard to overall treatment outcome⁵⁷. Primary and secondary outcomes measured were varied and included individual LUTS, different

types of UI, changes in quality of life and urodynamic parameters. Eleven validated tools were used to measure outcomes across 13 studies. Due to differences in reporting of data, where some studies reported mean results and others mean changes and the lack of response from authors contacted to provide further information, data pooling was not possible for most reported outcomes. There was a lack of long-term follow up beyond 12 weeks; one trial reported outcomes at 6 months³⁹ and one at 12 months³⁶ and one prospective observational study followed women for a mean of 10.8 months⁴³. Thus duration of potential effect is unclear and should be investigated in future research.

Economic evaluation was not formally addressed in any of the included studies; however Manriques (2016)³⁵ discussed the affordability of TTNS stating a one-off cost of 45 euros for the TTNS equipment compared to a monthly average cost of antimuscarinics of 50 euros. Recent audit has shown costs associated with TTNS to be considerably lower than three routinely used anticholinergics in the UK at 2015 costs⁵⁸. Nevertheless there is a lack of information on long-term economic aspects and comparison with other therapies, such as percutaneous TNS. Such information is required before implications for future practice can be reliably considered.

An important clinical issue is the place of TTNS in the OAB treatment algorithm. This review indicates the potential effectiveness of TTNS for use in idiopathic OAB and its safety for treating neurogenic OAB. These findings, together with the utility of TTNS in a supported self-management regimen^{22,25} and the low cost of the intervention⁵⁸ make TTNS an attractive option for inclusion earlier in the treatment algorithm. Schreiner (2010)³⁸ recommended that it is included as first line conservative therapy as an adjunct to lifestyle and behavioural conservative management in older women with UUI. Given its safety and the passive nature of the intervention there is also

potential for application in clinical situations where behavioural, lifestyle and pharmacological therapies might be inappropriate or contra-indicated, such as in the older, cognitively impaired population.

Previous systematic reviews have combined percutaneous (needle-electrode) TNS and transcutaneous (surface electrode) TNS in the same review^{19,23,56}, hence the current lack of clarity in our understanding of effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and best position in the treatment algorithm for each intervention and the tendency to consider them as equivalent. This situation fails to recognise the potential to target each more carefully. While the possibility of equal effectiveness for the two routes of administration is accepted, it is also conceivable that there are differing mechanisms of action associated with each, which have yet to be identified. Our review results for TTNS suggest similar success rates to those achieved in the PTNS studies. Given the current lack of reliable information, all reviews of TNS regardless of type, highlight the need for greater information, particularly in terms of identifying predictors of those who will respond to treatment and likely success rates.

Conclusion

All studies in this systematic review demonstrate some benefit from TTNS, in terms of patient reported and urodynamic parameters. Safety and tolerability of the intervention is confirmed. However, in view of the limited quality of evidence further research is necessary to confirm effectiveness for specific patient sub-groups, as well the magnitude of effect sizes associated with use of TTNS for treating OAB in adults, the optimal stimulation programme, potential sustainability and duration of effect. The place of the transcutaneous route of delivery in the treatment algorithm, in contrast to the more costly and labour-demanding percutaneous route has yet to

be clarified, particularly in relation to the promising role for TTNS in ongoing self-management of OAB. Nevertheless, given its safety, low cost, ease of application and potential to support self-administration, there is a clear impetus for further research to establish definitive evidence on the role of TTNS as second-line therapy, after lifestyle and behavioural changes have been implemented and as a direct alternative to pharmacological therapy in adults with OAB of idiopathic or neurogenic aetiology.

References

1. Milsom I, Irwin DE. A cross-sectional, population-based, multinational study of the prevalence of overactive bladder and lower urinary tract symptoms: results from the EPIC study. *Eur Urol* 2007; 6(Suppl 1): 4–9.
2. Coyne KS, Sexton CC, Thompson CL et al. The prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in the USA, the UK and Sweden: results from the Epidemiology of LUTS (EpiLUTS) study. *BJU Int* 2009; 104: 352–60.
3. Stewart WF, Van Rooyen JB, Cundiff G et al. Prevalence and burden of overactive bladder in the United States. *World J Urol* 2003; 20: 327–36.
4. Irwin DE, Kopp ZS, Agatep B, et al. Worldwide prevalence estimates of lower urinary tract symptoms, overactive bladder, urinary incontinence and bladder outlet obstruction. *BJU Int*. 2011; **108**:1132–1138.
5. Vaughan CP, Johnson TM, Ala-Lipasti MA, et al. The prevalence of clinically meaningful overactive bladder: bother and quality of life results from the population-based FINNO study. *Eur Urol*. 2011; **59**:629–636.
6. Coyne K, Sexton C, Irwin D et al. The impact of overactive bladder, incontinence and other lower urinary tract symptoms on quality of life, work productivity, sexuality and emotional well-being in men and women: results from the EPIC study. *BJU Int* 2008; 101: 1388–1395.

7. Kinsey D, Pretorius S, Glover L et al. The psychological impact of overactive bladder: A systematic review. *Journal of Health Psychology* 2016; 21: 69–81.
8. Agarwal A, Eryuzlu LN, Cartwright R, et al. What is the most bothersome lower urinary tract symptom? Individual- and population-level perspectives for both men and women. *Eur Urol*. 2014; **65**:1211–1217.
9. NICE - Urinary incontinence in women: management. Clinical guideline [CG171] Published date: September 2013 Last updated: November 2015.
10. Lucas M, Bedretidnova D, Bosch J et al. EUA Guidelines on urinary incontinence. *European Association of Urology* 2014.
11. Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Burgio KL et al. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults: AUA/SUFU guideline. *American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc.* 2014 May 01.
12. Veenboer PW, Bosch JL Long-term adherence to antimuscarinic therapy in everyday practice: a systematic review. *J Urol*. 2014;191(4):1003-8.
13. Wagg A, Franks B, Ramos B et al. Persistence and adherence with the new beta-3 receptor agonist, mirabegron, versus antimuscarinics in overactive bladder: Early experience in Canada. *Can Urol Assoc J*. 2015;9: 343-50.
14. Irwin D, Milsom I, Chancellor M et al. Dynamic Progression of Overactive Bladder and Urinary Incontinence Symptoms: A Systematic Review. *Eur Urol*, 2010;58: 532-543.
15. Donaldson M, Thompson JR, Matthews RJ et al. The natural history of overactive bladder and stress urinary incontinence in older women in the community: a 3-year prospective cohort study. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2006; 25(7):709-16.

16. Vaughan CP, Johnson TM II, Haukka J, et al. The fluctuation of nocturia among men with lower urinary tract symptoms allocated to placebo during a 12 month randomized controlled trial. *J Urol*. 2014; 191: 1040-1044.
17. Peters KM, Carrico DJ, Perez-Marrero R et al. Randomized trial of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation versus Sham efficacy in the treatment of overactive bladder syndrome: results from the SUmIT trial. *J Urol*. 2010, 183(4):1438.
18. Moosdorff-Steinhauser HF, Berghmans B. Effects of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation on adult patients with overactive bladder syndrome: a systematic review. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2013;32: 206–14.
19. Schneider MP, Gross L, Bachman B et al. Tibial Nerve Stimulation for Treating Neurogenic Lower Urinary Tract Dysfunction: A Systematic Review. *Eur Urol* 2015;68(5): 859-867.
20. Stoller ML [Afferent nerve stimulation for pelvic floor dysfunction](#). 1999. *Eur Urol*, 35 (suppl 2), 16.
21. Booth J, Connelly L, Dickson S et al. Transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for Rehabilitation And Treatment of Urinary Incontinence (TREAT-UI) after stroke: A feasibility study with pilot randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Stroke* 2016, 11(4_suppl):13.
22. Gaziev G, Topazio L, Lacovelli V et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) efficacy in the treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunctions: a systematic review. *BMC Urology* 2013 13:61, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2490-13-61.
23. Levin PJ, Wu JM, Kawasaki A et al. The Efficacy of Posterior Tibial Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment of Overactive Bladder in Women: A Systematic Review. *Int Urogynecol J* 2012; 23 (11), 1591-1597.
24. Burton C, Sajja A, Latthe P. Effectiveness of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for overactive bladder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2012;31(8):1206-1216.

25. Monga AK, Tracey MR, Subbaroyan JA. Systematic review of clinical studies of electrical stimulation for treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunction. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2012; 23(8):993-1005.
26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J et al. The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *BMJ* 2009;339:b2535.
27. Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM et al. Standardisation and Terminology Committees IUGA and ICS, Joint IUGA / ICS Working Group on Female Terminology. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2010;29(1):4-20.
28. Higgins J, Altman D, Gøtzsche P et al. Cochrane Bias Methods Group, Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials *BMJ* 2011;343:d5928.
29. NICE Quality Appraisal Tool for Case Series,
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg3/resources/appendix-4-quality-of-case-series-form2>
Accessed December 14 2016.
30. Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.2. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012.
31. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.handbook.cochrane.org.
32. Bellette P, Rodrigues-Palmer P, Hermann V. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the management of overactive bladder: A prospective and controlled study. *Actas Urologicas Espanolas* 2009;33:58-63.
33. Booth J, Hagen S, McClurg D Norton C et al. A feasibility study of transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for bladder and bowel dysfunction in elderly adults in residential care. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 2013;14: 270-274.

34. Chen C, Liao L, Li Y. The possible role of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation using adhesive skin surface electrodes in patients with neurogenic detrusor overactivity secondary to spinal cord injury *Int Urol Nephrol* 2015; 47:451–455.
35. Manriques C, Guzmán R, Naseri M et al. Transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation versus extended release oxybutynin in overactive bladder patients. A prospective randomized trial *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 2016;196: 6–10.
36. Monteiro ES, de Carvalho ES, Fukujima MM, et al. Electrical Stimulation of the Posterior Tibialis Nerve Improves Symptoms of Post-stroke Neurogenic Overactive Bladder in Men: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Urology* 2014;84: 509-514.
37. Perissinotto MC, D'Ancona C, Lucio A et al. Transcutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms and Its Impact on Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Parkinson Disease A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs.* 2015; 42(1):94-99.
38. Schreiner L, Santos T, Knorst M. Randomised trial of transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation to treat urge urinary incontinence in older women. *Int Urogynecol J* 2010;21:1065-1070.
39. Souto SC, Reis L, Palma T et al. Prospective and randomized comparison of electrical stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve versus oxybutynin versus their combination for treatment of women with overactive bladder syndrome. *World J Urol* 2014;32:179–184.
40. Surbala L, Khuman P, Mital V et al. Neuromodulation for overactive bladder with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in adults – a randomised clinical study. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci* 2014Oct;5(4): (B) 671– 679.
41. Svihra J, Kurca E, Luptak J. Neuromodulative treatment of overactive bladder: Non-invasive tibial nerve stimulation. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2002;103:480-483.

42. Amarenco G, Sheikh Ismael S, Even-Schneider A, et al. Urodynamic effect of acute transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation in overactive bladder. *J Urol* 2003;169:2210-2215.
43. Ammi M, Chautard D, Brassart E et al. Transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation: evaluation of a therapeutic option in the management of anticholinergic refractory overactive bladder *Int Urogynecol J* DOI 10.1007/s00192-014-2359-0.
44. De Seze M, Raibaut P, Gallien P, et al. Transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for treatment of overactive bladder syndrome in multiple sclerosis: Results of a multicentre prospective study. *Neurourol Urodynam* 2011;30:306-311.
45. Coyne K, Revicki D, Hunt T et al. Psychometric validation of overactive bladder symptom and health-related quality of life questionnaire: the OABq. *Qual Life Res* 2002;11:563-574.
46. Leary M. The American Urological Association Symptom Index for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. *J Urol* 1992;148:1549-1557.
47. Avery K, Donovan J, Peters T et al. ICIQ: a brief and robust measure for evaluating the symptoms and impact of urinary incontinence. *Neurourol.Urodyn.* 2004; 23(4):322-30.
48. Coyne K, Zyczynski T, Margoli MK et al. Validation of an overactive bladder awareness tool for use in primary care settings. *Adv Ther* 2005; 22:381-94.
49. [Homma Y](#), [Yoshida M](#), [Seki N](#) et al. Symptom assessment tool for overactive bladder syndrome--overactive bladder symptom score. [Urology](#). 2006 Aug;68(2):318-23.
50. Haab F, Richard F, Amarenco G et al. Comprehensive evaluation of bladder and urethral dysfunction symptoms: development and psychometric validation of the Urinary Symptom Profile (USP) questionnaire. *Urology*. 2008, 71(4):646–656.
51. [Patrick DL](#), [Martin ML](#), [Bushnell DM](#) et al. [Quality of life of women with urinary incontinence: further development of the incontinence quality of life instrument \(I-QOL\)](#). *Urology*. 1999; 53(1):71-76.

52. Amarenco G, Kerdraon J, Perrigot M (1992) Echelle d' évaluation du Handicap Pelvien: Mesure du Handicap Urinaire (MHU). In Pelissier J, Costa P, Lopez S, Mares P, eds *Reeducation vesico-sphincterienne et ano-rectale*. Paris: Masson 1992 pp 498-504.
53. Uebersax J, Wyman JF, Shumaker SA et al. Short Forms to Assess Life Quality and Symptom Distress for Urinary Incontinence in Women: The Incontinence Impact Questionnaire and the Urogenital Distress Inventory *Neurourol Urodyn* 1995; 14: 131-139.
54. Bonniaud V, Bryant D, Parratte B. Qualiveen: a urinary disorder-specific instrument for use in clinical trials in multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006 Dec;87(12):1661-3.
55. Nyström E, Sjöström M, Stenlund H et al. ICIQ symptom and quality of life instruments measure clinically relevant improvements in women with stress urinary incontinence. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2015 Nov;34(8):747-51.
56. Stewart F, Gameiro LF, El Dib R et al. Electrical stimulation with non-implanted electrodes for overactive bladder in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD010098.DOI: 0.1002/14651858.CD010098.pub4.
57. Finazzi-Agro E, Campagna A, Sciobica E et al. Posterior tibial nerve stimulation: is the once a week protocol the best option? *Minerva Urologica Nefrologica* 2005; 57:119-123
58. Booth J, Connelly L, Dickson S et al. Transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for Rehabilitation And Treatment of Urinary Incontinence (TREAT-UI) after stroke: A feasibility study with pilot randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Stroke* 2016; 11(4_suppl):13.

Figure 1 – Flowchart of study selection

Flow chart of study selection

Study	Total number patients	Mean age (SD), [SE] [range]	Type of OAB/ MUI	Participants (female/male)	Type of stimulation +/or treatment	Stim freq (Hz)	Pulse width (µS)	Stim session duration (mins)	Intensity (mA)	Total number sessions	Stim programme duration (weeks)	Outcomes measured
RCTs												
Belletie, 2009	37	47.7 (10.9)	Idiopathic	Int 21 (21/0) Con 15 (16/0)	TTNS Sham	10	200	30	NR	8	4	72 hour bladder diary, OABq
Booth, 2013 UK	30	84.2 (10.0)	Idiopathic neurogenic	Int 15 (12/3) Con 15 (12/3)	TTNS Sham	10	200	30	Sensory/motor threshold	12	6	AUASI, ICIQ-UI SF, PVRUV
Chen, 2015	100	32.9 (1.8) 33.5 (1.7)	Neurogenic	Int 49 (3/46) Con 48 (3/ 45)	TTNS SS 5mg daily	20	200	30	Highest tolerated	8	4	72 hour bladder diaries, I-QoL
Manriques, 2016	70	54.5 [18-84] 53.0 [18-71]	Idiopathic	Int 36 (36/0) Con 34 (34/0)	TTNS ERO 10mg daily	20	200	30	Motor threshold	24	12	72 hour bladder diary, OABq
Monteiro, 2014	24	65.1 (3.6) 56.1 (10.9)	Neurogenic	Int 12 (0/12) Con 12 (0/12)	TTNS Leg stretching exercises	10	200	30	Motor threshold	12	6	72 hour bladder diary
Perlisinotto 2015	13	63.5 [51-80] 57.0 [50-66]	Neurogenic	Int 8 Con 5	TTNS Sham	10	200	30	Sensory threshold	10	5	72 hour bladder diary, OAB V8, ICIQ-UI SF
Schreiner, 2010	51	68.3 (5.3) 67.6 (5.2)	Idiopathic	Int 25 (25/0) Con 26 (26/0)	BT,PFME, TTNS BT, PFME	10	200	30	Sensory / or motor threshold	12	12	72 hour bladder diary, ICIQ-UI SF
Souto 2014	75	56.9 [33-71] 57.7 [34-79] 60.1 [33-77]	Idiopathic	Int 25 (25/0) Con 1 25 (25/0) Con 2 25 (25/0)	TTNS ERO 10mg daily TTNS + ERO	10	250	30	Highest intensity tolerated	24	12	ICIQ-UI SF, ICIQ-OAB, 72 hour bladder diary
Surtbala 2014	44	43.6 [7.56] 42.8 [8.12] 47.2 [8.83]	Idiopathic	Cont 15 (10/5) Int 1 15 (9/6) Int 2 14 (11/3)	SF TTNS SF+TTNS	10	200	20	Highest intensity tolerated	24	4	OABSS, UDI-6, IIQ-7
Svithra, 2002	28	54 [45-63]	Idiopathic	Int 9 (9/0) Con 1 10 (10/0) Con 2 9 (9/0)	TTNS IRO 15mg No treatment	1	100	30	25	5	5	IPSS, I-QoL
Prospective observational studies												
Amarencio 2003	44	53.3 (18.2)	Neurogenic Idiopathic	29 / 15	TTNS	10	200	NA	Motor threshold	NA	NA	First IVD, MCC
Ammi, 2014	43	61.2 (15.7)	Refractory Idiopathic Neurogenic	36 / 7	TTNS	10	200	20	Discomfort threshold	30	4	USP, MHU
De Seze, 2011	70	48.3 (10.2)	Neurogenic	51 / 19	TTNS	10	200	20	Perception threshold before pain	30 90	4 12	72 hour bladder diary, MHU, WT, Qualiveen

Key: OAB = Overactive bladder; MUI = Mixed Urinary Incontinence; OABq = Overactive bladder questionnaire; AUASI = American Urological Association Symptom Index; ICIQ-UI SF = International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire – Urinary Incontinence Short Form; PVRUV = Post Void Residual Urine Volume; I-QoL = Incontinence Quality of Life; SS = solifenacin succinate; ERO = Extended Release Oxybutynin; BT = Bladder Training; PFME = Pelvic Floor Muscle Exercises; NR = Not reported; IRO=Immediate Release Oxybutynin; IPSS = International Prostate Symptom Score; MHU = Mesure du Handicap Urinaire; WT = time between perception of the strong desire to void and leakage; OABSS = Overactive Bladder Syndrome Score; UDI-6 = Short-form Urinary Distress Inventory -6 item score; IIQ-7 = Short-form Incontinence Impact Questionnaire – 7 item score; USP=Urinary Symptom Profile; IVD = Involuntary detrusor contraction; MCC= maximum cystometric capacity

Table 1 – Characteristics of included studies

Table 1 – Characteristics of included studies

Figure 2 – Cochrane Risk of Bias summary

Figure 3 – Forest plot – effects of TTNS on ICIQ-UI SF scores

Study	Bladder diary outcomes			Standardised symptom scores			Quality of life			Authors conclusions
TTNS versus Sham stimulation										
Beillette 2009	TTNS % with urgency 0 wk 91 4 wk 43 P=.002 Frequency/24 hrs 0 wk 11.4 4 wk 8.3 P=.003 Nocturia 0 wk 2.4 4 wk 1.1 P=.001	Sham % with urgency 0 wk 94 4 wk 63 P=.025 Frequency/24 hrs 0 wk 13.9 4 wk 10.6 Nocturia 0 wk 2.6 4 wk 2.1	BtwG 							

Table 2. – Review study outcomes

Table 2 – Review study outcomes

Key: I = Intervention; C = Comparison; TTNS = Transcutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation; OAB = overactive bladder; BD = bladder diary; UUI = urge urinary incontinence; AUASI = American urological Association Symptom Index; ICIQI-SF = International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Urinary Incontinence Short Form; LUTS = Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms; OABV8 = Overactive Bladder Awareness Tool; NDO=Neurogenic Detrusor Overactivity; ERO = Extended Release Oxybutynin; IPSS = International Prostate Symptom Score; SF = Sacral Foramina Stimulation; OABSS = Overactive Bladder Symptom Score, GRA = Global Response Assessment; OABq = Overactive Bladder Questionnaire, SF-36= 36-Item Short Form Health Survey; PVR = post-void residual urine volume; SS = solifenacin succinate; VPC = Volume Per Catheterisation; BT = Bladder Training; PFME = Pelvic Floor Muscle Exercises; ERO = Extended Release Oxybutynin; UDS = urodynamic studies; DI = Detrusor Instability; USP=Urinary Symptom Profile; MHU = Mesure du Handicap Urinaire; NOUR = non-obstructive urinary retention; GRA = Global Response Assessment; FIDC = First Involuntary Detrusor Contraction; MCC= Maximum Cystometric Capacity; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; NS = Not significant.