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In the field of anti-illicit drug applications, many suspicious mixture samples might consist of various drug components—for example, a mixture of methamphetamine, heroin, and amoxicillin—which makes spectral identification very difficult. A terahertz spectroscopic quantitative analysis method using an adaptive range micro-genetic algorithm with a variable internal population (ARVIP\mu GA) has been proposed. Five mixture cases are discussed using ARVIP\mu GA driven quantitative terahertz spectroscopic analysis in this paper. The devised simulation results show agreement with the previous experimental results, which suggested that the proposed technique has potential applications for terahertz spectral identifications of drug mixture components. The results show agreement with the results obtained using other experimental and numerical techniques. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3624737]

I. INTRODUCTION

Illicit drug control is one of the most widely discussed research areas related to social safety and security, and in this area terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) is a highly demanded alternative.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^10\) The terahertz (THz) region of the electromagnetic spectrum sits between the microwave and the midinfrared region and is usually defined by the frequency range of 0.1-10 THz, that is, 10\(^{12}\) cycles per second, as shown in Fig. 1. In the THz region, most of the large organic molecules have characteristic absorption behaviors that have recently attracted the interest of many researchers due to the potential applications in many areas such as non-invasive security screening, chemical agent identification, and pharmaceutical and biological sensing.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^16\) Terahertz spectroscopic studies of illegal drugs and explosives have been reported recently due to the emerging demand for security and anti-crime applications.\(^17\)\(^-\)\(^23\) In this paper, the terahertz spectra of several illicit drug samples are analyzed using THz-TDS, including methamphetamine (MA), 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDA), 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), and heroin, with the assistance of improved micro-genetic algorithms.

The first implementation of micro-genetic algorithms (\mu GA) was proposed by Krishnakumar\(^24\) in 1989 and adopted an elitist strategy to duplicate the current best individuals found in the generation \(t\) in the next generation \(t + 1\). Then, a few researchers also reported their works on \mu GA utilizing a small population and a reinitialisation process along with the overall genetic algorithm evolution process.\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^30\) Generally, there are two types of population strings: the external population string (\(P_E\)) and the internal population string (\(P_I\)); \(P_E\) archives the best individuals of the Pareto optimal set, and \(P_I\) generates the evolutionary data of diversity. In the traditional \mu GA, the size of \(P_I\) is fixed; users need to use “try-error” loops to find the suitable \(P_I\) size for different applications, which leads to a relatively low computational efficiency. In order to improve the adaptive ability, in this paper we propose an adaptive range micro-genetic algorithm with a variable internal population (ARVIP\mu GA), the internal population of which has a variable size.

In this paper, the ARVIP\mu GA will be utilized to compare the THz spectroscopic signature of each component and estimate the possibility of the combinational components of a drug mixture, and we demonstrate the agreement and improvements of the results obtained compared with the previous experimental analysis.\(^31\)\(^-\)\(^36\)

II. ADAPTIVE RANGE MICRO-GENETIC ALGORITHM WITH VARIABLE INTERNAL POPULATION

The ARVIP\mu GA work-flow is given in Fig. 2. There are two cycles in the overall framework, the “internal cycle” and the “external cycle,” which are terminated by the conditions of the “max internal generations” and the “max generations,” respectively.

For the internal cycle, as stated in Eq. (1), the internal population \(P_I\), which is used as the source of diversity of the internal loop, is made up of two sub-sections: the replaceable section \(P_{IR}\) and the non-replaceable section \(P_{NR}\). Equation (2) defines the size of \(P_{IR}\) at generation \(t\), which is calculated using the size of \(P_{IR}\) at generation \(t - 1\) plus (“+”) the off-size of the replaceable section \(\Delta P_{IR}\) at generation \(t - 1\), that is, the
current generation $P_{IR}$ as decided by the last generation $P_{IR}$ and $\Delta P_{IR}$.

$$P_{IR}(t) = P_{IR}(t) + P_{IN}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$P_{IR}(t) = P_{IR}(t - 1) + \Delta P_{IR}(t - 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The off-size replaceable population is proportional to the size of the original internal population $P_I$; this is stated in Eq. (3), where $\lambda$ is a reproduction rate set by users.

$$\Delta P_{IR} = \lambda P_I$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

Similar to the basic $\mu$GA, the ARVIP$\mu$GA work-flow includes three parts: fitness function definitions; encoding and decoding definitions; and genetic operator definitions, in which the genetic operators usually include selection, crossover, and mutation (optional for $\mu$GA). In this proposed method, there is also an “adaptive block” that contains three sub-blocks: “update population statistics,” “range adaptation,” and “elitist-random reinitialisation.” This design of the adaptive block has been discussed and applied in an airfoil optimization problem by Szőllős et al. 30

For the external cycle, it is an usual loop of $\mu$GA: each of the external cycles goes with a full internal cycle numbered by the max internal generations. In an evoluntary work-flow, ARVIP$\mu$GA creates a population of solutions ($P_E$), genetic operators such as selection and crossover are applied in order to find better results matching the objective error limits.

III. THZ-TDS EXPERIMENT CONFIGURATION

A typical THz-TDS experimental configuration is given in Fig. 3, in which a femto-second pulsed laser source is

FIG. 1. THz range in the electromagnetic spectrum.

FIG. 2. ARVIP$\mu$GA work-flow.

FIG. 3. Schematic of a THz-TDS experiment setup (Refs. 12 and 20).
used to generate a THz beam via a THz emitter, which can be either non-linear crystal\textsuperscript{5,6,19} or a semiconductor photo-conductive antenna (PCA).\textsuperscript{8,9} A parabolic mirror leads the THz beam onto the sample, and the other parabolic mirror collects the transmitted THz beam from the sample cell and then focuses it onto the THz detector. The probe beam detects the THz pulse via either electro-optic sampling in the non-linear crystal\textsuperscript{5,6} or the photo-conductive effect in the PCA.\textsuperscript{8,9} Consequently, the data analysis of the THz spectroscopy is performed by the specially designed ARVIP computing program.

IV. COMPONENTS DETERMINATION STATEMENT

In order to quantise the possible combination of the components, a weight matrix $W$ is defined as the “statement,” the element of which is expressed as $w_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, and $M \times N$ are the dimensions of the matrix $W$. As stated in Eq. (4), the value of $w_{ij}$ indicates the state for the current component of specimen type $j$ with its proportion $i$ as a percentage. There are two types of values (0 or 1) that can be assigned to each $w_{ij}$, $w_{ij} = 1$ is the “active” state, which indicates that the current mixture consists of the combination of the specimen type $j$ with the weight ratio $i$ and will be taken to $\mu$GA fitness assessment. $w_{ij} = 0$ is the “non-active” state, which will not be taken into the $\mu$GA process. Practically, the active and non-active states are marked as $\square$ and empty (void) in a statement. For example, $w_{1,5} = 1$ and $w_{9,2} = 1$ indicate that the current mixture is combined with specimen type No. 5 in 1% and specimen type No. 2 in 90%.

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_{ij} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } w_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$W(10 \times 10)$ shows that there are 10 choices of proportions as a percentage ($M = 10$) and 10 types of specimens in the THz database ($N = 10$). For a $10 \times 10$ statement $W(10 \times 10)$ case, as shown in Fig. 4, the row number (horizontal axis) represents the possible drug specimen in the mixture and the number of possible drug specimens is $N$. The vertical axis of the statement $W$ represents the possible concentration of the corresponding specimen in terms of the percentage. The increment in the vertical axis is 10%; therefore, the resolution of the above statement is 10%. In this $W(10 \times 10)$ case, the resolution of the concentration will be 10%, as the increment of the matrix $M \times N$ is 10%. The higher dimension $M$ provides a higher resolution of the statement, the time complexity of which $O(2)$ shows that the larger the size of $M$, the longer the central processing unit (CPU) time each the genetic algorithm simulation requires. Specifically, the $M$ can be estimated by Eq. (5), where $r_0$ is the required resolution as a percentage. In this $W(10 \times 10)$ case, $r_0 = 10\%$ and $M = 100/10 = 10$.

$$M = \frac{100}{r_0}$$

$W(10 \times 10)$ shows that there are 10 choices of proportions as a percentage ($M = 10$) and 10 types of specimens in the THz database ($N = 10$). For a $10 \times 10$ statement $W(10 \times 10)$ case, as shown in Fig. 4, the row number (horizontal axis) represents the possible drug specimen in the mixture and the number of possible drug specimens is $N$. The vertical axis of the statement $W$ represents the possible concentration of the corresponding specimen in terms of the percentage. The increment in the vertical axis is 10%; therefore, the resolution of the above statement is 10%. In this $W(10 \times 10)$ case, the resolution of the concentration will be 10%, as the increment of the matrix $M \times N$ is 10%. The higher dimension $M$ provides a higher resolution of the statement, the time complexity of which $O(2)$ shows that the larger the size of $M$, the longer the central processing unit (CPU) time each the genetic algorithm simulation requires. Specifically, the $M$ can be estimated by Eq. (5), where $r_0$ is the required resolution as a percentage. In this $W(10 \times 10)$ case, $r_0 = 10\%$ and $M = 100/10 = 10$. 

$$M = \frac{100}{r_0}$$

FIG. 4. Components determination statement.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Mixture sample: 50% MA and 50% heroin.
The mixture sample spectrum is calculated by summing up the spectra of all specimens \((j)\) multiplied by the corresponding proportional factor \((i)\). In Fig. 5, a drug mixture sample with 50% MA and 50% heroin is demonstrated; the solid line, dotted line, and dashed line are the THz absorption data of MA, heroin, and a 50% MA + 50% heroin mixture, respectively.

For the sample components with spectra that are not recorded in the current version of the database, there are mainly three ways to deal with this problem: (1) standardizing experimental conditions such as the sample, temperature, and frequency range; (2) academic or professional THz database sharing projects\(^37,38\); and (3) timely updating of the THz database to a higher version for the quantitative analysis software.

### V. FITNESS FUNCTION DEFINITION

The coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) is taken as a quantity for the quantitative analysis, which measures the proportion of total components in the mixture by the ARVIP\(_{\mu}GA\).

\[ R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \bar{y}_i - \bar{y} \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( y_i - \bar{y} \right)^2} \]

The ARVIP\(_{\mu}GA\) compares the calculated spectral characteristics (absorbance) with the actual spectral characteristics in the THz database by the fitness function \(J\), as shown in Eq. (7), where \(F(w_{i,j})\) is the weighted absorbance calculated by the lookup function \(F\) as given in Eq. (8); \(F(w_0)\) are the actual spectral data of the drug mixture sample as given in Eq. (9); \(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(w_{i,j})\) produces the spectral data, which are obtained by summing the overall \(M \times N\) element of the \(W\) matrix; \(w_0\) is the given sample element, the components indicate better approaching within the data. For a case of \(W(M \times N)\), there are \(N\) types of candidates, and the sample size \(k\) of each type of candidate is decided by the experimental data obtained from the THz-TDS experimental studies.

### TABLE I. Terahertz spectroscopic database (Refs. 20, 23, 31–35, 41–44).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Case1 (%)</th>
<th>Case2 (%)</th>
<th>Case3 (%)</th>
<th>Case4 (%)</th>
<th>Case5 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,3-DNB</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TNT</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RDX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PETN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HMX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-NT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2-A-4,6-DNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,4-DNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,6-DNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4-A-2,6-DNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tetryl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1,3,5-TNB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3,5-DNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,4-DNB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>D-ribose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>D-glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(\alpha)-lactose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(\beta)-lactose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>benzoic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>o-toluic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>m-toluic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>p-toluic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MDMA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Penicillin V</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II. Parameters for ARVIP\(_{\mu}GA\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max generations</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max internal generations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover probability</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation probability</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_i) internal population</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_e) external population</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection operator</td>
<td>Tournament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover operator</td>
<td>Single point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation operator</td>
<td>Single point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoding method</td>
<td>Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tournament size</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of which are to be compared with the specimens in the THz database and then determinated; and $w_i$ are the ARVIP generated elements of the statement over the evaluation process.

\[ \text{Maximise: } J = R^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(w_{ij}), F(w_0) \right) \]  

\[ F(w_{ij}) = w_i D(j) P_{r(i)} \]  

\[ F(w_0) = D_0 \]  

$F$ is the lookup function, which can return the weighted spectroscopic data (absorbance) from the THz spectroscopic database by the input statements $w_{ij}$ and $w_0$, as given in Eqs. (8) and (9), where $D(j)$ is the $j$th type THz spectroscopic data vector, $P_{r(i)}$ is the percentage index for the current proportion, and $D_0$ is the measured THz spectroscopic data vector.

| TABLE III. $R^2$ comparison for one of the selected simulation results. |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Case1            | Case2 | Case3 | Case4 | Case5 |
| ARVIPel$\mu$GA  | 0.9501| 0.9530| 0.9509| 0.9448| 0.9592|
| Reference calculation | 0.7051| 0.7420| 0.5009| 0.0853|

FIG. 7. (Color online) Case1: MA, statement distribution and corresponding terahertz spectra at various generations: (a),(b) 10 generations, (c),(d) 100 generations, and (e),(f) 1000 generations.
A THz spectroscopic database for this paper is listed in Table I and includes the following: (1) the THz spectroscopic data of the illicit drug samples (MA, MDA, MDMA, and heroin) used in this paper, which are obtained from other researchers’ work and are recorded experimentally via the THz-TDS system;31–36 (2) the explosives and related compounds: 1,3-dinitrobenzene (1,3-DNB), 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), pentaerythritol tetranitrate (PETN), octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX), 4-nitrotoluene (4-NT), 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene (2-A-4,6-DNT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT), 2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT), 4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene (4-A-2,6-DNT), 2,4,6-trinitrophenylmethylnitramine (Tetryl), 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (1,3,5-TNB), 3,5-dinitrotoluene (3,5-DNT), and 2,4-dinitrobenzyl alcohol (2,4-DNB) (Refs. 20, 23, and 41); and (3) benzoic acid, o-toluic acid, m-toluic acid, p-toluic acid,42 D-(-)-ribose, D-glucose, α-lactose, and β-lactose.43

As given in Table I, there are five cases (Case1-Case5) in this context, in which the mixture samples proportion are 90% MA; 90% MDA; 90% MDMA; 90% heroin; and 20% MA, 20% MDA, 20% MDMA, 20% heroin, 10% penicillin V, and 10% amoxicillin, respectively. The samples are recorded experimentally via the THz-TDS system.31–36

VI. THZ SPECTROSCOPIC DATA AND CASES DESCRIPTION

A THz spectroscopic database for this paper is listed in Table I and includes the following: (1) the THz spectroscopic data of the illicit drug samples (MA, MDA, MDMA, and heroin) and the antibiotic samples (phenoxymethylpenicillin - penicillin V, amoxicillin) used in this paper, which are obtained from other researchers’ work and are recorded experimentally via the THz-TDS system;31–36 (2) the explosives and related compounds: 1,3-dinitrobenzene (1,3-DNB), 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), pentaerythritol tetranitrate (PETN), octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX), 4-nitrotoluene (4-NT), 2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene (2-A-4,6-DNT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT), 2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT), 4-amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene (4-A-2,6-DNT), 2,4,6-trinitrophenylmethylnitramine (Tetryl), 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (1,3,5-TNB), 3,5-dinitrotoluene (3,5-DNT), and 2,4-dinitrobenzyl alcohol (2,4-DNB) (Refs. 20, 23, and 41); and (3) benzoic acid, o-toluic acid, m-toluic acid, p-toluic acid,42 D-(-)-ribose, D-glucose, α-lactose, and β-lactose.43

As given in Table I, there are five cases (Case1-Case5) in this context, in which the mixture samples proportion are 90% MA; 90% MDA; 90% MDMA; 90% heroin; and 20% MA, 20% MDA, 20% MDMA, 20% heroin, 10% penicillin V, and 10% amoxicillin, respectively. The samples are recorded experimentally via the THz-TDS system.31–36
experimentally as pellets at different weight ratios; the absorption and dispersions of flour are not exactly the same as in the pure samples, but this imperfection will not interfere with the demonstration of the feasibility of the mixture determination technique discussed in this paper. In Case 5, we manually preset a sample mixture containing several drugs and antibiotics that demonstrates the potential applications capable of identifying a complex mixture with more CPU time consumption; in practice, this kind of suspicious drug mixture is difficult to identify visually. The sample spectra are not actual spectral data obtained from experiments as in the previous four cases but are obtained by summing up the weighted spectra of all components in the mixture, as given in Eq. (8).

The noise analysis procedure is given in Fig. 6. In the THz-TDS system, the collected spectroscopic noise data cluster implies the corresponding characteristics of the given drug mixture under different experimental conditions, the “scattering” phenomenon of which has been paraphrased and made ready for the μGA calculation. Basically, but not limited to it, the error handling block can filter the numerical error singularity between the experimental and computational results and generate the error index $d_i$. Then, if the $d_i$ is smaller than the given marginal error $d_0$ ($d_i \leq d_0$), the results are acceptable for outputs; if $d_i > d_0$, the program goes back to the next loop for the mixture components approaching.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Test 3: calculated statement distribution and corresponding terahertz spectra at various generations: (a),(b) 10 generations, (c),(d) 100 generations, and (e),(f) 1000 generations.
VII. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are some parameters that need to be assigned for the ARVIP_μGA computing process; these usually include the internal population size $P_I$, the external population $P_E$, the crossover probability, the mutation probability, the max generations, and the max internal generations, as listed in Table II. Numerical results are obtained using the simulation toolbox for MATLAB, known henceforth here as SGALAB.45

Unless otherwise stated, all of the results are generated using the following parameters as listed in Table II for the simulation process, involving binary encoding/decoding methods, tournament selection, and single point crossover.

The computer facilities for the simulations are a 2.1 GHz Intel dual-core processor, Windows XP Professional v5.01 Build 2600 service pack 3, a 2.0 GB 800 MHz dual channel DDR2 SDRAM, MATLAB R2008a, and SGALAB 1.0.0.3 Beta 5008.

As stated in Table III, the $R^2$ comparison for one selected simulation result indicates that the proposed ARVIP_μGA method outperformed the previous results as discussed in other researchers’ results;31–36 the simulation process is also discussed in Figs. 7–11, which show the statement and corresponding calculated spectra for all cases.

There are three evolutional stages captured at various generation sizes (10, 100, and 1000, as shown in Figs. 7–11);
when the generation size increases, the mixture spectra obtained by the ARVIP-μGA analysis demonstrate better fitting than the experimental spectra.

Figure 7(a) and Fig. 7(b) are the THz spectra comparison and the statement at generation = 10; Fig. 7(c) and Fig. 7(d) are the THz spectra comparison and the statement at generation = 100, which demonstrate that the THz spectroscopic curves are located closer than they were at generation = 10. The elements of the statement at generation = 100 are less than at generation = 10, which states the clearer possible combinations. Figure 7(e) and Fig. 7(f) are the THz spectra comparison and the statement at generation = 1000. The “Calculation” results adapted from Refs. 31–36 are also plotted as circles (‘○’) in Fig. 7(e), where the μGA driven curve (dotted line) stays within the ±5% error limit (dashed line) relative to the mixture curve (solid line). The statement in Fig. 7(f) indicates the final optimized possible combination of the given mixture.

Similarly, as shown in Figs. 8 to 11, the results of Case2 to Case5 also demonstrate the agreement between ARVIP-μGA and other analytical techniques, which suggests that the ARVIP-μGA analysis can precisely determine the components as well as their concentrations in the drug mixture. Also, in parts (e) of Figs. 7 to 11, there are few data scattered out of the error limits, which implies the uncertainty of the evolitional process driven by the ARVIP-μGA method.
within an acceptable level, and the few misplacements of the components in a statement with a minimal statement resolution of 5% can cause a larger THz spectroscopic data error spread than the figured error limits of ±5% under the current experimental conditions.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS
An improved μGA has been proposed as ARVIPμGA, which then has been utilized in the quantitative analysis of drug mixtures based on their terahertz spectra. Based on the proposed “statement” concept, the components and their corresponding percentages in the mixture can be analytically identified within error limits. Five study cases of mixtures have been tested under this technical roadmap. Among these cases, four cases have been shown to demonstrate agreement with the experimental results reported in other works. This technique has potential applications for the terahertz spectral identification of chemical mixtures such as explosives and agricultural products.
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