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Abstract—This paper provides an analytical discrete small-signal state-space model of the phase-shift modulated series resonant converter used to obtain an explicit linearized phase-shift to output voltage transfer function. This transfer function is useful for linear closed loop control design. Initially, the effective duty-ratio to output voltage transfer function is derived. It is then proven, analytically and through results, that it is linearly related to the phase-shift to output voltage which is the main research concern. The analysis is carried out using state-plane diagrams. Small-signal state-space model representation is derived which is used for closed loop controller gain calculations. Results validate the calculated control gains based on the proposed linearized model.

Index Terms—Discrete time domain, Phase-Shift Modulation (PSM), Series Resonant Converter (SRC), Small-signal modeling.

I. NOMENCLATURE

- \( v_g \) DC supply voltage (V)
- \( i_L \) Resonant tank inductor current (A)
- \( v_C \) Resonant tank capacitor voltage (V)
- \( v_{AB} \) Inverter output voltage (V)
- \( v_p \) Transformer primary voltage (V)
- \( v_s \) Transformer secondary voltage (V)
- \( n \) Transformer turns ratio
- \( C_o \) Output filter capacitance (\( \mu \)F)
- \( v_{o}, i_{o} \) Output voltage and current respectively (V,A)
- \( R_L \) Load Resistance (\( \Omega \))
- \( f_s \) Inverter switching frequency (kHz)
- \( f_r \) Resonant frequency = \( 1/2\pi\sqrt{L/C} \) (kHz)
- \( \delta \) Phase-shift angle (rad)
- \( D \) Duty-ratio = \( T_{on}/T_s \) where \( T_{on} \) is the time length where the inverter output is \( +v_g \) and \( D \leq 0.5 \)
- \( Z_o \) Characteristic impedance = \( \sqrt{L/C} \) (\( \Omega \))
- \( Q \) Quality factor = \( Z_o/R_L \)

II. INTRODUCTION

Resonant converters have been favored over conventional PWM converters due to their low switching losses. High frequency operation is possible making them feasible for high power-to-size ratio modular power supplies. However, the non-linear control nature of resonant converters and the presence of fast resonant tank dynamics have made it difficult to model and control.

Several linearized small-signal models have been derived in the literature to provide insight for analysis, stability studies and closed loop control design. For the series resonant converter (SRC), under consideration, small-signal models have been introduced [1]-[3]. Discrete time domain models including the sampled-data modeling method have been proposed [4]-[6]. Discrete modeling and analysis techniques applicable to all types of inner feedback as well as non-inner feedback-controlled SRC were introduced [7]-[9]. The latter used the discrete modeling approach to obtain linearized SRC models in addition to linearized inner-feedback control laws. Such laws have been widely covered in the literature, like average-current control, frequency control, capacitor voltage control, diode-conduction-angle control, and optimal trajectory control. However, the aforementioned variable frequency control techniques present practical disadvantages, like a wide noise spectrum which makes it difficult to control EMI, more complex filtering, poor utilization of magnetic components, in addition to poor voltage regulation at low load [10].

Fixed-frequency modulation techniques like phase-shift modulation (PSM) [11]-[15], asymmetrical duty-cycle modulation [16], [17] and asymmetrical clamped-mode modulation [18], [19] overcome problems of variable-frequency control and offer excellent control on the output voltage. Numerous discrete time domain models for fixed-frequency phase-shifted LCC-type resonant converters have been derived [20]-[22]. However, an explicit phase-shift-to-output voltage transfer function does not exist. This paper extends the generalized discrete time domain small-signal approach introduced in [4] to model the fixed-frequency phase-shifted SRC. The goal is to derive an explicit small-signal phase-shift-to-output voltage transfer function which can be applied to closed loop control design.
III. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Fig.1 illustrates the full bridge phase-shift modulated SRC topology. Conventional duty-ratio control (Fig. 2a) implements a 180° phase shift between inverter legs, whereas in the phase-shift modulation technique, all switches are on for half the switching period and the phase angle between inverter legs is controlled (Fig. 2b). A linear relationship between effective duty-ratio (D) and phase shift angle ( δ ) exists ( δ = 2πD ) as shown in Fig. 2. For this reason, the analysis will be presented in terms of D. For analysis simplicity, the transformer is assumed to have a unity turns ratio with the effect of its leakage inductance added to the resonant inductance. The analysis is carried out for operation above resonance, assuming continuous inductor current.

IV. STATE-PLANE ANALYSIS

State-plane analysis of the PSM-SRC is carried out to take advantage of geometrical relations in deriving a generalized state-space model. Analysis assumes inverter output voltage half-wave symmetry. Typical waveforms for above resonance continuous mode PSM-SRC operation is shown in Fig.3a. The state-plane diagram ( v_c−i_L ) is derived from the differential equations of each of the positive half-cycle sub-periods (A-D) shown in Fig.3b.

Sub-Period A: \( t_0 \leq t \leq t_1 \)
\[
\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{L} (v_c - v_0) \\
\frac{dv_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_L \\
\frac{dv_o}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_o} (i_L - v_o) \\
\]

Sub-Period B: \( t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \)
\[
\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{L} (-v_c + v_o + v_d) \\
\frac{dv_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_L \\
\frac{dv_o}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_o} (i_L + v_o) \\
\]

Sub-Period C: \( t_2 \leq t \leq t_3 \)
\[
\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{L} (-v_c - v_o + v_d) \\
\frac{dv_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_L \\
\frac{dv_o}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_o} (i_L - v_o) \\
\]

Sub-Period D: \( t_3 \leq t \leq t_4 \)
\[
\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{1}{L} (-v_c - v_o) \\
\frac{dv_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_L \\
\frac{dv_o}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_o} (i_L + v_o) \\
\]

Solving the sub-period equations, the \( v_c−i_L \) relation can be expressed as
\[
(Z_o i_L)^2 + (v_c - V_c)^2 = V_{M1}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_1 \\
\]
where \( V_{c1} = V_0 \) and \( V_{M1} = -V_{max} - V_{c1} \)

\[
(Z_o i_L)^2 + (v_c - V_c)^2 = V_{M2}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\
\]
where \( V_{c2} = V_0 + V_o \) and \( V_{M2} = -V_{max} - V_{c2} \)

\[
(Z_o i_L)^2 + (v_c - V_c)^2 = V_{M3}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t_2 \leq t \leq t_3 \\
\]
where \( V_{c3} = V_o - V_o \) and \( V_{M3} = -V_{max} - V_{c3} \)

\[
(Z_o i_L)^2 + (v_c - V_c)^2 = V_{M4}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t_3 \leq t \leq t_4 \\
\]
where \( V_{c4} = -V_0 \) and \( V_{M4} = V_{max} - V_{c4} \)

The steady state \( v_c−i_L \) state-plane trajectories are shown in Fig. 4 with \( V_{max} \) being the maximum voltage across the resonant capacitor (C).

V. DISCRETIZATION

Normalizing all voltages and currents with respect to \( V_{max} \) and \( V_{max}/Z_o \) respectively yields
\[
i_{nl} = i_o Z_o, \quad v_{nc} = v_o V_{max} \quad v_{ns} = v_0 V_{max} \quad v_{ng} = v_o V_{max} \quad f_{ns} = f_o (5)
\]
Utilizing the half-wave symmetry of the waveforms in Fig.3a, the system is discretized as described in [4] and sampled every half switching period (Ts / 2). The normalized discrete state-plane is shown in Fig.5. Taking the state variables as
\[
x_1 = i_{nl}, \quad x_2 = v_{nc}, \quad x_3 = v_{ns} \quad (6)
\]
The discrete state-space representation of the system will take the form:
\[
x_1(k+1) = f_1 (x_1(k), x_2(k), x_3(k), v_{ng}(k), f_{ns}(k), d(k)) = f_1(k) \\
x_2(k+1) = f_2 (x_1(k), x_2(k), x_3(k), v_{ng}(k), f_{ns}(k), d(k)) = f_2(k) \\
x_3(k+1) = f_3 (x_1(k), x_2(k), x_3(k), v_{ng}(k), f_{ns}(k), d(k)) = f_3(k) \\
\]
Based on the geometry of the trajectory in Fig.5, and taking advantage of half-wave symmetry in Fig. 3a, it can be shown that the functions in (7) are given by
\[
f_1(k) = i_{ns}(k+1) = (-1)^k \left[ i_{ns}^2(k) + (v_{ns}(k) - v_{nc}(k))(-1)^k \right] \sin \left( \varphi(k) - \frac{\pi}{f_{ns}(k)} (0.5 - d(k)) \right) (8a)
\]
\[ f_4(k) = v_{ac}(k + 1) = (-1)^k \left( \frac{i_{ac}^2(k)}{k} + (v_{no}(k) - v_{ac}(k))(-1)^k \right) \times \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{f_{ac}(k)} \left( 0.5 - d(k) \right) \right) - v_{ac}(k) \]  

where
\[ A = \frac{i_{ac}^2(k) - v_{ac}(k)}{2v_{no}(k)} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \times \frac{(v_{no}(k) - v_{ac}(k))(-1)^k}{(1 + v_{no}(k) - v_{ac}(k))^2} \]

\[ f_4(k) = v_{no}(k + 1) = \frac{4\tau - \frac{1}{f_{ac}(k)}}{4\tau + \frac{1}{f_{ac}(k)}} v_{no}(k) + \frac{A_r C_0}{4\tau + \frac{1}{f_{ac}(k)}} \]

\[ [(2 - v_{ac}(k) - v_{ac}(k + 1))(-1)^k] \]

where \( \varphi(k) = \cos^{-1}[A], \tau = R_a C_0 \)

**VI. SMALL-SIGNAL ANALYSIS AND MATRIX REPRESENTATION**

For the perturbed and linearized system around a specific steady-state operating point (considering perturbations in duty-ratio \( \delta(k) \) only), a general state-space format exists such that

\[ \dot{x}(k + 1) = A_0 \dot{x}(k) + B_0 \delta(k), \quad k \text{ even} \]  

\[ \dot{x}(k + 1) = A_1 \dot{x}(k) + B_1 \delta(k), \quad k \text{ odd} \]

Since the steady-state trajectories of the resonant states have symmetry about the origin (i.e., any two points on the steady-state trajectory are one half switching period apart with opposite polarity), therefore it is possible to derive a new discrete state-vector equation which can be updated every half-switching period. Equations (9) can be written in a general format

\[ \dot{x}(k + 1) = A(k) \dot{x}(k) + B(k) \delta(k) \]

where

\[ A(k) = P(k)A_0 P(k) \]

\[ B(k) = P(k)B_0 \]

**Fig. 3.** Continuous inductor current operation of full bridge PSM-SRC with half-wave symmetry: (a) waveforms, and (b) equivalent circuit diagrams for positive half-cycle sub-periods.

**Fig. 4.** State-plane trajectory of full-bridge PSM-SRC.

**Fig. 5.** Normalized discrete state-plane trajectory of full-bridge PSM-SRC.
Matrix $P(k)$ is a 3x3 matrix given by

$$P(k) = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(10b)

Matrices $A_0$ and $B_0$ are defined, as given in [4], by using Taylor Series Expansion,

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta f_1(0)}{\delta x_1(0)} & \frac{\delta f_2(0)}{\delta x_2(0)} & \frac{\delta f_3(0)}{\delta x_3(0)} \\ \frac{\delta f_1(0)}{\delta x_1(0)} & \frac{\delta f_2(0)}{\delta x_2(0)} & \frac{\delta f_3(0)}{\delta x_3(0)} \\ \frac{\delta f_1(0)}{\delta x_1(0)} & \frac{\delta f_2(0)}{\delta x_2(0)} & \frac{\delta f_3(0)}{\delta x_3(0)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta f_1(0)}{\delta x_1(0)} \\ \frac{\delta f_2(0)}{\delta x_2(0)} \\ \frac{\delta f_3(0)}{\delta x_3(0)} \end{bmatrix}$$

(10c)

Since the output voltage has even symmetry, it is constant and is not a function of $k$.

Although a unified discrete state-space representation is given by (10a), the system matrices $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ are a function of the $k^{th}$ half-switching period, i.e. the matrices change according to $k$. Constant matrices must be obtained to have a linear time-invariant system. Using a transformation

$$\hat{z}_1(k) = (-1)^{k+1} \hat{z}_1(k), \quad \text{since} \quad \hat{z}_1(0) < 0$$

$$\hat{z}_2(k) = (-1)^{k+1} \hat{z}_2(k), \quad \text{since} \quad \hat{z}_2(0) < 0$$

$$\hat{z}_3(k) = \hat{z}_3(k)$$

(11c)

Replacing $k$ by $k+1$,

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k+1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \hat{z}(k+1)$$

$$= RQ(k)\hat{z}(k+1)$$

(13)

Substituting (12) and (13) into (10a) and taking into account $RR = I$ and $QQ = I$,

$$RQ(k)\hat{z}(k+1) = A(k)\hat{z}(k) + B(k)\hat{d}(k)$$

(14)

$$\hat{z}(k+1) = Q(k)RA(k)\hat{z}(k) + Q(k)RB(k)\hat{d}(k)$$

(15)

$$\hat{z}(k+1) = A_2\hat{z}(k) + B_2\hat{d}(k)$$

(16)

where

$$A_2 = Q(k)RA(k)Q(k) = Q(k)RP(k)A_0P(k)Q(k)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -a_{11} & -a_{12} & a_{13} \\ -a_{21} & -a_{22} & a_{23} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(17a)

$$B_2 = Q(k)RB(k) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(17b)

Notice that due to the transformation used in (11), $A_2$ and $B_2$ are constant matrices independent of sampling instant $k$.

Applying the $z$-transformation to (16), the small-signal frequency response of the converter, in the complex frequency domain, can be calculated using the relation

$$z = e^{sT_s/2}$$

which can be approximated by a bilinear transformation as in (18). The duty-ratio to output voltage transfer function is

$$\frac{\nu_{na}(s)}{\delta(s)} = [0 \quad 0 \quad 1][zI - A_2]^{-1}B_2 \frac{e^{-sT_s/2}}{1-e^{-sT_s/2}}$$

(18)

Coefficients of the matrices $A_2$ and $B_2$ are derived by obtaining the partial derivatives in (10c) and (10d). These coefficients are expressed as

$$a_{11} = -\frac{V_{M1}\cos(\alpha - \alpha_s)\sin(\alpha - \alpha_s)}{\sin \phi} - \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\nu_{na}} - \frac{1}{\nu_{na}}}\right) + \cos(\phi - \alpha_s)\sin(\phi - \alpha_s)$$

$$a_{12} = -\frac{V_{M1}\sin(\alpha - \alpha_s)}{\sin \phi} - \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\nu_{na}} - \frac{1}{\nu_{na}}}\right) + \cos(\phi - \alpha_s)\sin(\phi - \alpha_s)$$

$$a_{13} = -\frac{V_{M1}\sin(\alpha - \alpha_s)}{\sin \phi} - \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\nu_{na}} - \frac{1}{\nu_{na}}}\right) + \cos(\phi - \alpha_s)\sin(\phi - \alpha_s)$$

$$a_{21} = -\frac{V_{M1}\sin^2(\alpha - \alpha_s)}{\sin \phi} - \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\nu_{na}} - \frac{1}{\nu_{na}}}\right) + \cos^2(\phi - \alpha_s) - 1$$

Using the linear relation from Fig.2 $(\delta = 2\pi D)$, it follows that the small signal quantities are similarly related $(\delta = 2\pi D)$. Therefore the phase shift to output voltage transfer function can be derived from

$$\frac{\nu_{na}(s)}{\delta(s)} = \frac{\nu_{na}(s)}{\delta(s)}$$

(19)

VII. CLOSED-LOOP PI CONTROL DESIGN

In order to verify (19), closed-loop PI control design is according to the duty-ratio to output voltage transfer function in (18). Using this design, the closed loop control performance for both, conventional duty-ratio control and phase-shift control, is compared. The analogy of results proves the validity of (19).

A. Duty-Ratio Control

The typical structure of the closed-loop control system for duty-ratio control of the SRC is shown in Fig.6. From Fig.6, the overall open-loop transfer function of the is $T_{OL}(s) = T_1(s)T_{C}(s)$

$$T_1(s) = \frac{\nu_{na}(s)}{\delta(s)}$$

and $T_{C}(s) = PI \text{ controller transfer function} = K_p + \frac{\nu_{na}(s)}{\delta(s)}$
As explained in [23], for a given $T_I(s)$, the transfer function of the compensated amplifier $T_c(s)$ must be tailored so that $T_{OL}(s)$ meets the performance requirements expected of the power supply. These include, high dc gain to minimize steady-state error in power supply output and adequate phase margin to ensure system stability.

By selecting a steady-state operating condition (values in Table I), the small-signal transfer function in (18) can be computed as in (22). PI controller is then designed using Bode-plot (complex frequency) analysis and is given by (23). Fig.7 shows the open-loop Bode-plot before and after controller implementation. The integrator has lead to system dc gain improvement (necessary to reduce steady-state error) and the phase-delay is reduced leading to a faster dynamic response.

**TABLE I**

**STEADY-STATE VALUES FOR SMALL-SIGNAL LINEARIZATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_g$</td>
<td>100 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>100 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>0.28 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_o$</td>
<td>18.85 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_c$</td>
<td>30 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_s$</td>
<td>40 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_o$</td>
<td>100 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_l$</td>
<td>9.425 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{\dot{v}_{in}(s)}{d(s)} = \frac{-1358582 + 2.173 \times 10^8 s + 0.03474}{s^3 + 1.7 \times 10^5 s^2 + 1.828 \times 10^3 s + 1.629 \times 10^2} \tag{22}
\]

\[
T_c(s) = 16000 \left( \frac{1 + 0.00079s}{s} \right) \tag{23}
\]

**B. Phase-Shift Control**

The typical structure of the closed-loop feedback control system for SRC phase-shift control is shown in Fig.8. The same PI controller design is used, with the PSM-SRC cascaded with a gain of $2\pi$ to mimic the duty-ratio controlled SRC. This makes use of (19) with the phase shift modulator acting as a gain, analogous to the saw-tooth PWM generator. Simulation results for both control methods are compared in the next section.

**VIII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A comparative time domain analysis of the closed loop duty-ratio controlled and PSM series resonant converter is performed using the detailed converter switching model and utilizing the controller in (23). Fig.9 shows simulation results for the SRC with both control techniques. The similarity in step response validates the derivation of the phase-shift to output voltage transfer function obtained from the duty-ratio to output voltage transfer function in (19).
CONCLUSION

Discrete time domain modeling has been used to derive a linearized small-signal phase-shift to output voltage transfer function for the PSM-SRC. This is used for linear closed loop PI control design. The duty-ratio to output voltage transfer function for the duty-ratio controlled SRC was derived through state-plane analysis and discretization. A linear relationship exists between the phase-shift angle in the PSM technique and the actual duty-ratio in the PWM technique. This relation has been investigated and used to derive the phase-shift to output voltage transfer function, which shows similar response. Closed-loop controller design has been carried out to tune the PI controller parameters to the required step response. The designed controllers were applied to the non-linear switching model of the converter, obtaining satisfactory step response.
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