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Abstract

Oxidative stress plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of retinal degeneration. Gypenosides are the major functional components isolated from *Gynostemma pentaphyllum*. They have been shown to protect against oxidative stress and inflammation and have also demonstrated a protective effect on experimental optic neuritis. In order to determine the protective properties of gypenosides against oxidative stress in human retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells, ARPE-19 cells were treated with H$_2$O$_2$ or H$_2$O$_2$ plus gypenosides for 24 h. ARPE-19 cells co-treated with gypenosides had significantly increased cell viability and decreased cell death rate when compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone. The level of GSH, the activities of SOD and catalase, and the expression of NRF2 and antioxidant genes were notably decreased, while there were marked increases in ROS, MDA and pro-inflammatory cytokines in ARPE-19 cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$; co-treatment with gypenosides significantly counteract these changes. Our study suggests that gypenosides protect RPE cells from oxidative damage and offer therapeutic potential for the treatment of retinal degeneration.
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1. Introduction

Oxidative stress is the term given to an imbalance between production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the removal of ROS by the oxidant scavenging defence system. Increased ROS production can cause damage to nucleic acids, lipids and proteins, and has been implicated in ageing and age-related neurodegenerative diseases (Danielson and Andersen, 2008; Kujoth et al., 2005; Moreira et al., 2008). The human retina is a part of the central nervous system and is responsible for visual function. The retina consists of a pigmented monolayer of cells (retinal pigment epithelium) and a neuroretina, comprising different types of neurons including the photoreceptor cells. The retina has the highest oxygen consumption rate in the human body, which results in an excess of ROS production (Yu and Cringle, 2005). Continual exposure to light also increases the production of ROS in the retina. Photoreceptor cells become particularly susceptible to oxidative stress when under constant intrinsic and environmental challenges. Oxidative stress has been suggested to play an important role in the pathogenesis of retinal degeneration, including diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration and retinitis pigmentosa (Kowluru and Mishra, 2015; Punzo et al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017).

The retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) is a monolayer of highly polarized epithelial cells whose apical membrane faces the photoreceptor outer segments and whose basolateral membrane faces Bruch’s membrane. RPE cells play a critical role in maintaining health and function both of photoreceptors and choroidal capillaries (Strauss, 2005). RPE cells provide nutrition for photoreceptors and help to renew the outer segments. They also secrete a variety of growth factors for the maintenance of structural integrity both of photoreceptors and the choriocapillaris. As a part of the
retina/blood barrier, RPE cells selectively deliver or remove metabolites and molecules to and from the photoreceptors and the choriocapillaris. Many retinal degenerative diseases are linked to dysfunction of RPE cells.

*Gnostemma pentaphylum* Makino has been widely used as a traditional Chinese medicine for centuries (Razmovski-Naumovski et al., 2005). In China it has been prescribed for patients with heart palpitation, chronic bronchitis, fatigue syndrome and cough. Gypenosides (Gyp) are the predominant functional component of *G. pentaphylum* (Cui et al., 1999). Gyp have shown anti-inflammatory (Aktan et al., 2003; Cai et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2006; Wan and Zhao, 2017; Xie et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2016a), anti-oxidative (Shang et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2014), anti-hyperlipidemic (Gou et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017; Megalli et al., 2005; Qin et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013), anti-diabetic (He et al., 2015) and anti-tumour activities (Kong et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2014). Gyp have also demonstrated protective effects in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease (Choi et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2017). Recent studies reported that Gyp demonstrated protective effects in experimental optic neuritis (Li et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). However, studies on Gyp in retinal degeneration are lacking, therefore it is of benefit to evaluate the therapeutic potential of Gyp for retinal diseases.

In the current study, we evaluated the protective effects of Gyp against H$_2$O$_2$-induced oxidative stress *in vitro* in the RPE cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Cell culture
Human retinal pigment epithelium ARPE-19 cells (ATCC® CRL-2302™) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM/F12, Lonza, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 mg/mL streptomycin, 100 units/mL penicillin (Lonza, UK) and 0.26% sodium bicarbonate (Sigma).

2.2. Cell viability

ARPE-19 cells were seeded at a density of 80,000 cells per well in 48-well plates (Greiner Bio One, UK) in DMEM/F12 medium and cultured in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. Confluent cells were treated with H₂O₂ and/or gypenosides (Gyp, purchased from Xi’an Jiatian Biotech Co. Ltd, China, purity 98%) at different concentrations (H₂O₂: 50, 100, 250, 500, 750 and 1000µM; Gyp: 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10µg/ml) for 24 hours. The cell viability was assessed using an MTT assay (0.4mg/ml, 200µl/well) (Sigma, UK) according to the protocols. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm in a microplate spectrophotometer Epoch reader (Biotech, UK). The percentage of viable cells was determined using the following formula: % of viable cells = [(absorbance of untreated cells-absorbance of treated cells)/absorbance of untreated cells] ×100. All the experiments were performed in triplicate under the same conditions.

2.3. Detection of cell death

DeadEnd™ fluorometric TUNEL assay kit (Promega) was used to detect cell death following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, treated and control cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min at 4°C, washed with PBS and permeabilised for 5 min with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. Cells were labelled with rTDT reaction mix for one hour at 37°C and the reaction was stopped with 2×SSC. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated with DAPI (Cat. Number D9542, Sigma). After washing with PBS, cells were mounted with FluorSave™ reagent (Merck Millipore, Cat. number 345789).
Images were captured using ZEISS LSM 800 confocal microscopy. Cell death was quantified by counting the number of TUNEL positive cells in 300 cells from three individual samples (100 cells in each sample).

2.4. Measurement of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

ARPE-19 cells were seeded in clear-bottomed black 96-well (30,000 cells/well) tissue culture plates (Greiner Bio One, UK) and cultured for 24 h. ARPE-19 cells were treated with H$_2$O$_2$ or H$_2$O$_2$ plus Gyp for 24 hours. Total ROS was measured using the 6-Carboxy-20,70-Dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA) (Sigma, UK) according to the manufacturer's guidance. Briefly, cells were incubated with 10 mM DCFH-DA in PBS (150 µl/well) and incubated for 30 min in an incubator with 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C. The fluorescence was measured at 485 nm (excitation) and 520 nm (emission) using a Fluostar Optima microplate reader (BMG-labtech).

2.5. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Sigma, UK) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, UK). The quantification of gene expression was detected using a Platinum® SYBR® Green QPCR SuperMix-UDG w/ROX kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, UK). The relative change in gene expression was determined according to $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ formula (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) in which gene expression was normalized to expression of the housekeeping gene (β-actin) in treated and control samples. The primers for qRT-PCR are designed by referencing to published literature and the sequences are listed in Table 1.

2.6. Biochemical assays
Catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities in treated and control RPE cells were measured using, respectively, the OxiSelect Catalase Activity Assay Kit (Cell Biolabs, STA-341) and the OxiSelect Superoxide Dismutase Activity Assay Kit (Cell Biolabs, STA-340), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Glutathione and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels were measured using the GSSG/GSH assay kit (Cell Biolabs, STA-312) and the TBARS assay kit (Cell Biolabs, STA-330) respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7. Measurement of caspase-3 and -7 activities

Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay (Promega) was used to measure the activities of caspase-3 and -7. ARPE-19 Cells (20,000 cells/well) were seeded in a 96-well white plate overnight. The cells were incubated in the 5% CO\textsubscript{2} incubator at 37 °C for 24 h, then were treated with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} plus Gyp for 24 h. After the treatment 100 µL of Caspase-Glo 3/7 reagent was added and gently mixed for 30 seconds using a plate shaker at 300rpm. The plate was kept at room temperature for 3 h prior to measurement of luminescence.

2.8. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

After cells were exposed to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} plus Gyp for 24 h, the culture medium was collected and centrifuged. Interleukin-1β (IL-1β), IL-6 and IL-8 in the supernatant were measured using the Human IL-1β Mini ABTS ELISA Development Kit (900-M95), IL-6 Mini ABTS ELISA Development Kit (900-M16) and IL-8 Mini ABTS ELISA Development Kit (900-M18), purchased from PeproTech, UK. Measurements were performed in a Nunc-Immuno™ MicroWell™ 96-well solid plate (Sigma, UK) using a microplate reader and the concentration of unknown samples was calculated according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

2.9. Western blotting
Cells were lysed with ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific), following which the lysates were collected and centrifuged (16200g for 20 minutes). Protein concentration of the supernatants was determined using Precision Red Advanced Protein Assay reagent (Cat. number ADV02-A, Cytoskeleton, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Equal amounts of proteins were separated in SDS-PAGE and transferred electrophoretically to nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences). The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk for one hour at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies (NRF2, Cell Signalling Technology, Cat. Number #12721, 1:1000; GAPDH, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat. Number sc-32233, 1:1000) overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed three times and incubated with secondary antibodies (1:10000) for one hour at room temperature. After washing, the signals were detected and analysed using the Image Studio™ Lite analysis software (LI-COR).

2.10. Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism (version 6.0 from GraphPad software Inc. San Diego, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All pairwise comparisons were performed using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. All multiple comparisons were carried out using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparison test. Statistical significance was considered when a level of $p$ is less than 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of $H_2O_2$ and Gyp on cell viability

$H_2O_2$ has been widely used to induce oxidative stress in in vitro studies. To determine an appropriate concentration of $H_2O_2$ for the current study, we used an MTT assay to assess viability in ARPE-19 cells exposed to $H_2O_2$ at different
concentrations. ARPE-19 cells tolerated H$_2$O$_2$ treatment at concentrations of 50 to 500µM but exhibited significant decreases in viability at the doses of 750 and 1000µM (Fig. 1A). We also examined the potential toxicity of Gyp to ARPE-19 cells and found that there was no significant change in cell viability after 24 hours incubation with Gyp at 1, 2.5 or 5µg/ml (Fig. 1B). However, Gyp at higher concentration (7.5 or 10µg/ml) had a toxic effect on RPE cells with a significant decrease in cell viability (Fig. 1B). Consequently, H$_2$O$_2$ at concentration of 750µM and Gyp at concentration of 5µg/ml were applied in subsequent experiments. When ARPE-19 cells co-treated with H$_2$O$_2$ (750µM) and Gyp (5µg/ml) for 24 hours exhibited markedly increased cell viability by 55.00% when compared with cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ alone (Fig. 1C and D).

3.2 Gyp protected against H$_2$O$_2$-induced cell death in RPE cells

We examined whether increased cell viability by Gyp is associated with less cell death. We used TUNEL assay to determine cell death and found that there was marked increased cell death rate by 10 folds (p=0.0286) in ARPE-19 cells incubated with H$_2$O$_2$ when compared to untreated control cells and that co-exposure to Gyp led to significant reduction (p=0.0286) in cell death induced by H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 2A and B).

We also measured expression of cell death related genes, CASPASE 3 and 9 by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3 A). H$_2$O$_2$ treatment induced significantly increased expression of CASPASE 3 and 9 by, respectively, 258.00% and 55.14% in H$_2$O$_2$ treated cells compared to untreated control cells; co-treatment with Gyp resulted in significantly decreased expression of CASPASE 3 and 9 compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone. In addition, we measured CASPASE 3 and 9 activities and found that CASPASE 3 and 7 activities were markedly increased in cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ when
compared to untreated cells. Co-treatment with Gyp resulted in significant decreases in CASPASE 3 and 7 activities compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ only (Fig. 3B).

3.3. **Gyp suppressed ROS production and up-regulated antioxidant gene expression**

We investigated whether Gyp can counter intracellular ROS production induced by H$_2$O$_2$ exposure in ARPE-19 cells. As shown in Fig. 4, cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ showed that ROS levels increased significantly by 61.75% compared to untreated cells. Cells co-treated with Gyp exhibited significantly decreased ROS by 30.37% compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone.

As ROS production causes cellular antioxidant imbalance, we examined expression of antioxidant genes in ARPE-19 cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ or Gyp+H$_2$O$_2$. We found that expression of antioxidant genes was significantly decreased in H$_2$O$_2$ treated cells compared to untreated cells (Fig. 5). Compared to the untreated control group, expression of SOD1, GPX1, CAT, NQO-1 and GCLM genes in H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells was decreased by approximately 40%. ARPE-19 cells co-incubated with Gyp showed notably increased expression of these genes when compared to cell incubated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone (Fig. 5): SOD1 expression was increased by 193.32%; GPX1 expression was increased by 239.59%; CAT expression was increased by 233.73%; NQO-1 expression was increased by 204.90%; and GCLM expression was increased by 241.68%.

We also assessed the effects of Gyp on SOD and CAT activities. Activities of SOD and CAT were notably decreased in H$_2$O$_2$ treated cells by, respectively, 21.58% and 63.21%, compared to untreated cells (Fig. 6A and B). Co-treatment with Gyp resulted in significantly increased activities of SOD and CAT by, respectively, 139.11% and 327.45%, compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone (Fig. 6A and B).
3.4. Gyp reversed $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-induced changes in glutathione and malondialdehyde production

Glutathione (GSH) acts as an important antioxidant in every cell and tissue by preventing cellular damage caused by ROS. We measured the level of glutathione in $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-treated ARPE-19 cells and found that GSH was notably decreased by 79.80% compared to untreated cells. ARPE-19 cells co-treated with Gyp had markedly increased GSH by 2396.54% when compared to cells exposed solely to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (Fig. 6C). We also investigated the level of MDA, a biomarker for lipid peroxidation, in $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-treated ARPE-19 cells and found that production of MDA was significantly increased by 333.30% when compared to untreated control cells. Co-treatment with Gyp led to significant decrease in MDA level by 79.65% compared to cells treated with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ alone (Fig. 6D).

3.5. Gyp reversed $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-induced changes in NRF2 expression

NRF2 is a key transcription factor that upregulates expression of antioxidant genes and plays a protective role against oxidative stress (Ahmed et al., 2017). Firstly, we examined NRF2 expression by qRT-PCR and found that $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ treatment caused a significant decrease in NRF2 expression by 44.01% compared to untreated control cells. However, ARPE-19 cells co-treated with Gyp had a 283.23% increase of NRF2 expression compared to cells treated with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ alone (Fig. 7A). Secondly, we determined NRF2 protein level by Western blotting using anti-NRF2 antibody (Fig. 7B) and found that the level of NRF2 protein level in ARPE-19 cells treated with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ was notably decreased by 56.15% compared to untreated control cells. ARPE-19 cells, co-incubated with Gyp, had a marked increase in NRF2 protein by 350.50% compared to cells incubated with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ alone (Fig. 7C).
SESTRINs are a family of stress-inducible proteins that function in protection against oxidative stress. NRF2 is one of the transcription factors that upregulate sestrin expression (Lee et al., 2013). We examined expression of sestrins in treated and untreated ARPE-19 cells by qRT-PCR and found that ARPE-19 cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ had significant decreases in expression of SESTRIN1, 2, and 3 when compared to untreated cells (Fig. 7D). However, co-treatment with Gyp resulted in notably increased expression of SESTRIN1, 2 and 3 compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone (Fig. 7D).

3.6. Gyp attenuated expression of inflammation genes induced by H$_2$O$_2$

Inflammation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a wide range of human diseases, including retinal degeneration (Whitcup et al., 2013). Oxidative stress and inflammation are closely associated, in that oxidative stress causes protein oxidation, leading to the release of inflammatory signals (Salzano et al., 2014). We measured mRNA levels of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 by qRT-PCR and found that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment led to significantly increased expression of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 by, respectively, 205.32%, 132.46% and 89.76% compared to untreated cells (Fig.8A). ARPE-19 cells co-exposed to Gyp had significantly decreased expression of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 compared to cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone. We also examined protein levels of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 by ELISA; similarly, H$_2$O$_2$ treatment caused significantly increased IL-1β, IL-6 and IL8 in ARPE-19 cells compared to untreated cells (Fig. 8B). Co-treatment with Gyp significantly counteracted these changes.

3.7 Inhibition of NRF2 signalling pathway with Brusatol counteracted Gyp protection

Ren et al. (2011) reported that Brusatol specifically inhibits the NRF2 pathway by selectively reducing NRF2 protein level through enhanced ubiquitination and degradation of NRF2. To confirm that the protective effect of Gyp against H$_2$O$_2$-
induced oxidative stress and inflammation was mediated by NRF2, we examined whether a reduced NRF2 level by Brusatol altered the effect of Gyp protection. Initially we treated ARPE-19 cells with Brusatol at 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 100nM, and found that Brusatol at high concentrations (≥50nM) had a toxic effect on RPE cells with a marked decrease in cell viability (Fig. 9A). Consequently, 40nM of Brusatol was applied for subsequent experiments. ARPE-19 cells exposed to Brusatol had a significant decrease in NRF2 protein level when compared to untreated cells (Fig. 9B); this is consistent with a previous report regarding Brusatol inhibition of NRF2 in A549 cells (Ren et al., 2011). Brusatol treatment also significantly reduced NRF2 level in Gyp and H₂O₂ co-treated ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 9B). We measured expression of SOD1, CAT, SESTRIN1 and IL-1β in untreated, H₂O₂-treated, H₂O₂+Gyp-treated, and H₂O₂+Gyp+Brusatol-treated cells by qRT-PCR. Again expression of SOD1, CAT and SESTRIN1 was markedly decreased in H₂O₂-exposed cells when compared to untreated cells, while Gyp co-treatment with H₂O₂ resulted in a significant increase in expression of the above three genes. However, cells exposed to Gyp+H₂O₂+Brusatol exhibited a significant decrease in expression of the three genes when compared to cells co-treated with Gyp and H₂O₂. By contrast, IL-1β expression was significantly increased in cells treated with Gyp+H₂O₂+Brusatol when compared to cells exposed to only Gyp+H₂O₂ (Fig. 9C).

4. Discussion

Inhibition of oxidative stress represents an effective approach to treat retinal degeneration. It would be of benefit to identify potent antioxidants from natural products. The present study evaluated the protective effects of Gyp in ARPE-19 cells and investigated underlying mechanisms. Gyp exposure reduced cell death,
suppressed ROS production, promoted expression of antioxidant genes and inhibited inflammation in H₂O₂-treated ARPE-19 cells. These effects appear to be associated with activated NRF2 signal pathway, since Gyp treatment enhanced NRF2 expression.

Gyp, the main active saponin of *G. pentaphyllum*, have been shown to improve cognitive impairment in rats with experimentally induced cerebral hypoperfusion through enhancing antioxidant capacity and by reducing lipid peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage (Zhang et al., 2011). In an *in vitro* Parkinson’s disease model, Gyp protected dopaminergic neurons from MPP⁺-induced oxidative injury (Wang et al., 2010). Gyp treatment of dopaminergic neurons exposed to MTT⁺ resulted in significantly decreased ROS production, lipid peroxidation, and levels of carbonyl and 8-hydroxyguanine. Gyp treatment also led to markedly increased activities of GPX, SOD and catalase, and notably increased GSH content (Zhang et al., 2011). Shang et al. (2006) showed that Gyp protected rat primary cortical cells from glutamate-induced toxicity by increasing GSH level and reducing MDA level (Shag et al., 2006). In a myocardial ischemia-reperfusion rat model, Gyp pre-treatment resulted in significantly increased activities of SOD and GPX and decreased MDA level in the myocardium; Gyp pre-treatment also preserved myocardial mitochondrial function by increasing the activities of complex I, II and III, and decreasing the release of cytochrome c (Yu et al., 2016b). Similarly, in a hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury mouse model, Gyp treatment provided protection of hepatic tissues from ischemia-reperfusion injury through inhibition of lipid peroxidation, downregulation of heme oxygenase-1 (HO1) and an increased GSH level (Zhao et al., 2014). Our present study evaluated the protective effects of Gyp in an *in vitro* ARPE-19 cell model. We too found that Gyp treatment led to notably
increased activities of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and catalase) and GSH (Fig. 6A-C), and that ROS and MDA (Fig. 4 and Fig. 6D) were significantly decreased.

Previous studies demonstrated that Gyp inhibited inflammation in vitro and in vivo. The nuclear factor kappa (NF-kB) pathway is involved in production of proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine, and in recruitment of leukocytes (Lawrence, 2009). Activation of NF-kB is regulated by several signal pathways, e.g. the MAPK and PI3K/Akt pathways. It has been reported that NF-kB can be upregulated through phosphorylation of IKK-β by p38, JNK and ERK activation (Huang et al., 2008; Slomiany and Slomiany, 2013; Yeh et al., 2011). Previous studies have demonstrated that Gyp treatment inhibited LPS-induced NF-kB activation in murine macrophage (RAW264.7) cells and IL-1β-induced NF-kB activation in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes (Aktan et al., 2003; Wan and Zhao, 2017). Yu et al. (2016a) reported that Gyp treatment suppressed NF-kB activation by inhibition of MAPK pathway in both oxygen-glucose deprivation-reoxygenation H9c2 cell model and ischemia-reperfusion injury rat model (Yu et al., 2016a). Gyp exposure has also been shown to result in decreased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in a renal ischemia/reperfusion injury mouse model and in β amyloid-incubated N9 microglia cells (Cai et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2016). Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated that Gyp treatment attenuated inflammation in the retina and optic nerve in a mouse model of experimental autoimmune optic neuritis (Zhang et al., 2017). We found that Gyp treatment suppressed H$_2$O$_2$-induced inflammation in ARPE-19 cells by reducing the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8) at mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 8).

H$_2$O$_2$-induced oxidative stress causes cell death in ARPE-19 cells. Early reports suggested that apoptosis is a major type of cell death in ARPE-19 cells treated with
H₂O₂ (Faghiri and Bazan, 2010; Kim et al., 2010). A recent study showed that ARPE-19 cells exposed to H₂O₂ had autophagy-associated cell death (Szatmári-Tóth et al., 2016). However, Hanus et al demonstrated that necrosis, rather than apoptosis or autophagy, is a major type of cell death in ARPE-19 cells exposed to H₂O₂ (Hanus et al., 2013). Our data here showed that H₂O₂ exposure caused caspase-dependent apoptosis in ARPE-19 cells which had significant increases in mRNA level of Caspase 3/9 and the activities of Caspase 3/7 (Fig. 3), although we could not exclude other cell death pathways induced by H₂O₂ treatment. An earlier study demonstrated that Gyp treatment protected rat cortical cells from glutamate-induced apoptosis by increasing the level of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 and inhibiting pro-apoptotic Bax (Shang et al., 2006). Recent studies showed that Gyp suppressed apoptosis in cardiomyocytes in a myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury rat model (Yu et al., 2016c) and inhibited renal cell apoptosis in a renal ischemia-reperfusion injury mouse model (Ye et al., 2016), both through upregulation of Bcl-2 and downregulation of Bax. Gyp treatment also inhibited hepatic cell apoptosis in a mouse hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury model by increasing Bcl-2 expression and decreasing protein levels of Bax and cytochrome c, and the activities of Caspase 3/8 (Zhao et al., 2014). Our current study demonstrated that Gyp downregulated the expression of Caspase 3, 7 and 9 (Fig. 3), which are important indicators of apoptosis.

Under oxidative stress, NRF2 transcriptionally upregulates expression of endogenous antioxidants and phase II detoxifying enzymes through the antioxidant response elements (AREs). NRF2 also contributes to anti-inflammation by regulating the expression of HO-1, COX2, iNOS and NFKB (Ahmed et al., 2017; Kowluru and Mishra, 2015). NRF2 plays an important role in protection of retinal cells from oxidative damage. Deletion of NRF2 in mouse resulted in age-dependent RPE
deterioration (Zhao et al., 2011). Adeno associated virus (AAV) over-expression of NRF2 in retinal degeneration mouse models attenuated photoreceptor cell death (Xiong et al., 2015). Natural products such as carnosic acid can activate NRF2 in ARPE-19 cells and upregulate antioxidant genes (Albalawi et al., 2017). Our results demonstrated that ARPE-19 cells treated with Gyp had increased NRF2 transcripts and protein (Fig. 7), which consequently upregulated the expression of ARE-bearing genes (Fig. 5).

Oxidative stress and inflammation play a critical role in the pathogenesis of complex retinal diseases, including age-related macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma (Datta et al., 2017; Pinazo-Durán et al., 2015; Kowluru and Mishra, 2015). Progression of inherited photoreceptor degeneration, such as retinitis pigmentosa, is also associated with oxidative stress and inflammation (Zhang et al., 2017). While the current study evaluated Gyp function in RPE cells, it would be of benefit to evaluate the capability of Gyp to protect against oxidative stress and inflammation in a photoreceptor cell line (e.g. 661W) and a ganglion cell line (e.g. RGC-5). The limitation of the current study is that the therapeutic potential of Gyp was assessed in vitro. An in vivo evaluation of Gyp mediated protection against oxidative stress and inflammation is required in animal models, such as retinal light damage (Organisciak and Vaughan, 2010) and inherited photoreceptor degeneration (Vlachantoni et al., 2011) rodent models. Following successful preclinical experiments, Gyp could be used in clinical trials to treat patients with retinal diseases.

In summary, our study demonstrates that Gyp can protect RPE cells from H$_2$O$_2$-induced oxidative damages through activation of the NRF2 signalling pathway and has a potential for the treatment of patients with retinal diseases in the near future.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Forward primers 5'-3'</th>
<th>Reverse primers 5'-3'</th>
<th>Annealing temperature °C</th>
<th>PCR product (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPX 1</td>
<td>AGTCCACCCTGTATGCCTTC</td>
<td>CTCCTGGTGTCGGAATGAT</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD 1</td>
<td>AGGGCATCATCAATTTCGAG</td>
<td>CATTCGCCAAGTCTCAAC</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>ATCTCGGCTGGAAATAACCC</td>
<td>AGGAAACCTGATGACAGACT</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQO-1</td>
<td>CCTCTATGCCATGAATTT</td>
<td>TATAAGCCGAGACAGACT</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLM</td>
<td>GCCATAGGTACCTACGTC</td>
<td>CTTGACAGACACATAGCT</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRF2</td>
<td>AGTGGATCTGGCAACTATCT</td>
<td>CATCTCAAGAGGGAATGTC</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESTRIN1</td>
<td>CTTCCTGGGAGCTTCAAGC</td>
<td>TGAATGGCACGCTCTGCTC</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESTRIN2</td>
<td>CAAGCTCAGATAATGTCG</td>
<td>CTCAACATAGCAGATCGAG</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESTRIN3</td>
<td>GTTCACCTGTATATCTGGAATGGG</td>
<td>GGGTGATACCTCAGGTCAAGAT</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1-β</td>
<td>GCTGAGGAGATGCTCTTC</td>
<td>TCCATATCTGCTCCCTGGAG</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQO-1</td>
<td>GCCATAGGTACCTACGTC</td>
<td>CTTGACAGACACATAGCT</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPASE 3</td>
<td>AACTGGACTGTGGCGATTG</td>
<td>ACCAGGGTGCTGTGGAGTA</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPASE 9</td>
<td>ATGGACGAGCCGCTGTCGGCCG</td>
<td>GCACCACCTGGGGTAAAGGTTCCTGAG</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-ACTIN</td>
<td>TCCACGAAACTACCTTACC</td>
<td>GTCATACTCCTGCTGGAT</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure legends**

**Figure 1**
The effects of H$_2$O$_2$ and gypenosides (Gyp) on cell viability. (A) ARPE-19 cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ at different concentration for 24 hours showed decreases in cell viability at higher concentrations when compare to untreated control cells. Data were represented as mean±SD (n=5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. (B) ARPE-19 cells exposed to different concentrations of Gyp for 24 hours showed decreased cell viability at higher concentrations when compare to untreated control cells. Data were represented as mean±SD (n=5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. (C) Microscopic images of untreated ARPE-19 cells and of cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ (750µM) alone or with H$_2$O$_2$ (750µM) plus Gyp (5µg/ml). Inserts show a 4× magnification of the selected regions. UT, untreated control cells. (D) Gyp treatment countered H$_2$O$_2$-induced toxic effects. Data were represented as mean±SEM (n=4). *P < 0.05, Mann Whitney test.

**Figure 2**
Gyp treatment of ARPE-19 cells significantly decreased apoptosis in caused by H$_2$O$_2$ and detected by a TUNEL assay. (A) ARPE-19 cells were exposed to 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ only, 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ + 5µg/ml Gyp, for 24 h then stained with TUNEL reagents to detect apoptotic cells and co-staining with DAPI to label nuclei. Cells treated with DNase were used as positive control for apoptosis. (B) Apoptotic cell number was quantified in 300 cells and presented as percentage. Data were presented as means± SEM (n=9). *p< 0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.
Figure 3

H$_2$O$_2$ exposure led to notably increased expression of CASPASE which was counteracted by Gyp. (A, B) Increased expression (mRNA) of CASPASE 3 and 9 in ARPE-19 cells treated with 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ only for 24 h, co-treatment of Gyp (5µg/ml) reversed the effect. mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR. (C) CASPASE 3/7 activity of ARPE-19 cells untreated (UT), or treated with 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ only, or 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h were measured using CASPASE-Glo 3/7 assay. Co-exposure to Gyp significantly decreased CASPASE 3/7 activities. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). *p<0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.

Figure 4

Co-treatment with Gyp suppressed H$_2$O$_2$-induced ROS production. ARPE-19 cells were exposed to 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ only or to 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ plus 5µg/ml Gyp. The intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) was measured using DCFH-DA staining. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). **p< 0.01, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.

Figure 5

H$_2$O$_2$ exposure led to notably decreased expression of antioxidant genes and co-treatment with 5µg/ml Gyp reversed the effects. Expression of SOD1 (A), GPX1 (B), Catalase (C), NQO-1 (D) and GCLM (E) in ARPE-19 cells untreated (UT) or treated with 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ only, or 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h were measured by qRT-PCR. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). *p< 0.05, Mann Whitney test. CAT: catalase.
Figure 6
Gyp treatment reversed H$_2$O$_2$-induced effects on the activities of SOD and CAT, and the level of GSH and MDA. Activities of SOD (A) and CAT (B) were markedly decreased in ARPE-19 cells challenged with H$_2$O$_2$ alone for 24 h, and notably increased during co-treatment with 5µg/ml Gyp. (C) GSH generation was significantly decreased in ARPE-19 cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone for 24 h, and were notably increased during co-exposure to 5µg/ml Gyp. (D) MDA production was significantly increased in ARPE-19 cells treated with H$_2$O$_2$ alone for 24 h, and notably decreased during co-exposure to 5µg/ml Gyp. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). *p< 0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.

Figure 7
H$_2$O$_2$ exposure decreased the expression of NRF2 while co-treatment with 5µg/ml Gyp reversed the effects. (A) Expression levels of NRF2 in ARPE-19 cells untreated (UT), or treated with 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ alone or with 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h, were measured by qRT-PCR. (B) NRF2 protein in ARPE-19 cells treated with 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ alone or with 750 µM of H$_2$O$_2$ + 5µg/ml Gyp ACR for 24 h was examined by Western blotting. (C) Quantification of NRF2 protein levels was normalized with GAPDH protein. (D-F) H$_2$O$_2$ exposure led to notably decreased expression of Sestrin (SESN) genes while co-exposure of 5µg/ml Gyp reversed the effects. mRNA levels of SESN1, SESN2 and SESN3 in ARPE-19 cells treated with 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ alone or with 750 µM H$_2$O$_2$ plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h were measured by qRT-PCR. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). *p < 0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.
**Figure 8**

\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) exposure led to increased expression of inflammation gene expression while co-treatment with 5µg/ml Gyp reversed the effects. (A-C) mRNA levels of IL1-\( \beta \), IL-6 and IL-8 in ARPE-19 cells treated with 750 µM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) alone or with 750 µM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h were measured by qRT-PCR. The protein concentrations of IL1-\( \beta \) (D), IL-6 (E) and IL-8 (F) in ARPE-19 cells treated with 750 µM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) alone or with 750 µM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) plus 5µg/ml Gyp for 24 h were measured by ELISA. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9). *p < 0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells.

**Figure 9**

Exposure to Brusatol countered the protective effects of Gyp by inhibiting the NRF2 signalling pathway. (A) Exposure to Brusatol at concentrations ≥50nM significantly reduced ARPE-19 cell viability when compared to untreated controls. Data were presented as mean±SD (n=9). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. (B) Exposure to Brusatol (40nM) significantly reduced NRF2 protein expression in ARPE-19 cells compared to controls; ARPE-19 cells co-treated with Brusatol plus \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) plus Gyp had a significantly lower expression of NRF2 compared to cells treated with only \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) plus Gyp. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9), *p< 0.05, Mann Whitney test. (C) Expression of SOD1, CAT and SESTRIN1 was markedly decreased in ARPE-19 cells exposed to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) compared to untreated cells; exposure to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) + Gyp resulted in a significant increase in expression of these genes. Cells exposed to Gyp + \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) + Brusatol exhibited a significant decrease in expression of the three genes when compared to cells co-treated with Gyp + \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). IL-1\( \beta \) expression was significantly increased in
cells treated with Gyp + H₂O₂ + Brusatol when compared to cells exposed to only Gyp + H₂O₂. Data were presented as mean±SEM (n=9), *p< 0.05, Mann Whitney test. UT: untreated control cells. B: Brusatol.
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